REVIEW ARTICLE   **OPEN ACCESS**

THE IMPACT OF ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD IN CLINICAL DOCUMENTATION IMPROVEMENT: LITERATURE REVIEW

Elham Hamoud Alotaibi*[1], Amal Salem Alanazi[2] and Sarah Hussain Alnafisah[3]

[1]Executive Master Degree in Health Administration, King Saud University, KSA; [2]Bachelor of Business Administration from Imam Bin Saud University, KSA; [3]Master of Health Care Administration, Continuous Quality improvement and Patient Safety Department, KSA

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Digital transformation in the healthcare has brought various opportunities. Various studies have indicated the different aspects of social determinants of health initiatives as well as their impacts on the outcome. It is noticed that the healthcare delivery phenomenon is changing due to electronic health records that is integrated into clinical documentation to improve processes. This study focuses on both side of the aspect, which are the challenges as well as the opportunities that result from this union. The merging of Digital Medical Records (EMR) into Medical Documentation Improvement (MDI) practices represents a pivotal change in the landscape of healthcare provision. This complex transformation holds both difficulties and opportunities emerging from this integration, drawing insights from diverse academic works. The adoption of EMRs harbors tremendous potential for streamlining clinical workflows, boosting care coordination, and enhancing productivity across healthcare systems. However, this transition also presents challenges ensuring user proficiency and training in EMR documentation emerges as one primary hurdle. Interoperability among providers and institutions also surfaces as a critical challenge in merging EMRs with MDI practices. The advancement of the EHRs into the digital field brings cyber security threats such as data breaches and confidentiality violations to the forefront. It is noticed that integration of EHRs with CDI routines presents hurdles as well as opportunities for healthcare institutions. The obstacles can be addressed through extensive preparation, connectivity initiatives, security measures, and streamlined documentation is pivotal. However, capitalizing on prospects for progress and innovation, such as integrating decision support tools, leveraging cutting-edge technologies, and collaborative efforts between stakeholders, can optimize CDI effectiveness and ultimately enhance patient care delivery.

INTRODUCTION

The digital transformation of healthcare has brought about unparalleled opportunities for advancements and improvements over the last few years, thereby changing the perception of the current healthcare landscape. The possibilities here are to include the factors that are related to health in Electronic Health Records, and the health systems may be revolutionised and lead to the improvement of the population's health outcomes. The groundwork for this integration was laid out by the Obama administration in 2009 with the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, which paid medical institutions to adopt EHR systems. The bulk of healthcare institutions use EHRs in the USA in this day and age, which is a significant change from the past, showing the way to a digital healthcare ecosystem (Moy *et al*., 2021). Since EHR saturation is the case now, the emphasis has shifted to the utilization of EHR as it is.

The provider of meaningful use was presented in the form of programs such as the Medicare and Medicaid EHR Incentive Programs; however, its current name is the Promoting Interoperability Programs. This program implemented phases of criteria, which included data capture, workflow optimisation, and ultimately healthcare quality improvement and the reduction of inequality. In the third phase implemented in 2017 providers are expected to demonstrate continuous quality improvement as well as change the situation with the health inequalities among different patient groups. Coming on top of the issues in this phase is the process of incorporating the Social Determinants of Health into the EHRs. Not just the quality of care but also the institutions may be subject to Medicare payment reductions if the matter remains unnoticed (As Tapuria *et al*. have mentioned, 2021). Data of the same patients are immense in EHRs, but still, focus on social determinants of health is lacking in this regard which is essential for wholistic care. Moving from patient-based care to population-based care gives medical personnel the ardent desire and drive to find ways of including social

metrics in the daily workflow. The initiative itself is much more than that of supervising but rather concentrates on identifying the systemic problems that have been contributing to disparity. For instance, although structured fields include some social determinants, such as homelessness which can be portrayed through different diagnoses, unstructured notes do not cover other environmental or community issues that cannot be identified through the EHR data only. Such partial perception without a targeted collection and processing may not be enough to have a clear SDoH understanding. Finding a common ground between different social determinants can be challenging since there is no standardization of which things and how to consider them in prognosis and treatment plans, so caution is inevitable. While interventions aimed at addressing social determinants of health are believed to be instrumental in this direction, there are insufficient research and assessment frameworks to show that they do improve the health outcomes of populations (Negro *et al.*, 2021). So far, various studies have identified and evaluated multiple aspects of social determinants of health initiatives and their impacts on health outcomes. However, even though there has been little research done on the inclusion of social factors into electronic medical records for risk prediction and related calculations, this is an emerging area of interest. A quick study carried out by Golembiewski and colleagues gave a sound grasp of the growing of nonclinical data from outside sources with clinical data bases. This also showed the complications and possibilities of this area. A broad spectrum of research is being concerned with individual risk assessment and the development of tailored response mechanisms that are dependent on a multitude of social and environmental aspects. The dynamic nature of this emerging area brings to life the health improvement of people and eventually the reduction of health disparities across the globe (Wilson *et al.*, 2021).

The growth of healthcare to raise equity in achieving health outcomes gives the chance to use electronic health records to understand what social determinants came into play. Record keeping of patients' circumstances is necessary to make the care more individualized allowing the providers to consider that life situations are many and different. From this, we can be more just and truly population-health-focused, with the recognition of the realities (Chintalapudi *et al.*, 2021). Clinical documentation is not only crucial for the delivery of quality care but also for future reference and quality improvement initiatives. We explain clinical documentation as a mechanism whereby practicing clinicians record their observations, opinions, plans, and other information that come up during encounters with patients and happen at every interaction between the patient and the healthcare system. In the last few years, generalized acceptance and practice of electronic health records (EHRs) have been used by many clinicians to record the data of patient encounters in a computerized manner. Studies evaluating computer-based documentation tools indicate a growing need to further evolve such tools to best meet user needs. Various documentation methods are currently available for providers, including handwriting notes on paper, dictating contents for later transcription, and using electronic or computer-based documentation (CBD) systems (Holmgren *et al.*, 2021). Newer voice recognition technologies have also emerged in recent years. While promising instant transcription of dictated notes, currently available products have been criticised for lack of accuracy. Each method carries implications for clinical workflow, note substance, provider satisfaction, patient satisfaction, and integration with EHR systems. For example, handwriting allows clinicians flexibility in what and where they document, with digitized scans incorporable into EHRs; however, it is limited for easy content review and reuse (Patra *et al.*, 2021). Dictation permits quick, effortless note generation and producible data to support research and clinical decision-making. However, implementation costs are high, transcriptions may not be instantly available, and notes often require editing. Unlike other approaches, CBD tools enable direct EHR note entry with minimal editing, accomplished through either structured or unstructured entry. The latter allows narrative-style typing, while the former uses templates requiring selection via mouse or pen. Unstructured entry gives clinicians narrative flexibility for sections like the history of present illness difficult to summarize in pre-categorized concepts via

structured entry. Additionally, narrative text can be saved as reusable templates for other patient encounters. However, the lack of structure in free-form notes poses challenges for algorithmic data reuse in decision support and research versus structured entry notes (Vukmir, 2024). Staff are core to delivery, so optimizing them directly influences benchmarks and missions. Often over 60% of Australian and German hospital budgets fund pay, emphasizing efficiently utilizing time. While documentation increasingly engages clinicians, reducing face time risks burnout hampering care. Nearly half of the doctors experience burnout, spreading costs and service' effects. To boost quality, organizations must reassess work distribution and bolster staff thriving (Cerchione *et al.*, 2023). Modern medicine confronts balancing paperwork and engagement challenges, as administration grows squeezing clinical face time. While thorough records facilitate coordination, prioritizing forms over folks endangers provider satisfaction and standards. Health systems aim to better population health yet risk missing that without sustainably committed, flourishing clinicians. Strategic workload changes safeguard both staff and those depending on expertise (Pai *et al.*, 2021).

Widespread adoption of electronic medical charts in recent decades promised streamlined team information sharing, anticipating digitizing from paper would trim documentation time allowing more engagement. While digital documentation often involves bedside terminals, central computers, or personal digital assistants, such technologies offer advantages like computerized provider ordering, electronic prescribing, and clinical decision aid (Yang *et al.*, 2022). Noteworthy, computerised clinical decision support has proven helpful in improving individual health by decreasing prescribing errors and documentation flaws, and thusly reducing adverse event rates. These programs deliver alerts for wise actions, flag potential medication interactions, and propose dose changes, responsibilities prone to mistakes when done exclusively by human providers. Moreover, digital records can optimize data movement, allow real-time confirmation, and help track a patient's advancement (Gianfrancesco *et al.*, 2021). The staff members' attitudes are shaped by diverse factors that can improve the acceptance of HER and related clinical decision-support tools in healthcare. The instant response concerning system speed is a vital factor, for the better substitution of the pages is desirable and relevant to user experience. Modern features that are found in the entry system of suppliers and automated reporting are thought of as positive but the user-friendliness and reliability of the system should be tested comprehensively before being rolled out. On the other hand, the nature of this electronic environment affects older users because of their unfamiliarity with computer systems (Yan *et al.*, 2021). This may turn out to be more easily used by younger people in developed nations due to the greater exposure to technology from a younger age. The training courses for the staff members who work with EHR systems must be not only about skills and performance but also more acquaintance with the system's logic and benefits. A deferred commencement of staff training throughout the implementing procedure may greatly cause proper insertion and generate difficulties. Addressing infrastructure issues early is crucial to preventing disruptions in documentation efficiency and maintaining care quality standards. Ensuring seamless EHR integration is essential to mitigate documentation inefficiencies and time pressures while upholding care standards. The proportion of staff time devoted to documentation serves as a key indicator of operational efficiency, warranting examination of overall EHR impact on this metric through comparisons of pre- and post-implementation physician and nurse documentation times (Yang *et al.*, 2022).

Previous examinations analysed modifications in documentation time allocation preceding and after EHR amongst the medical center teams. However, none mentioned the proportion of the complete workload dedicated to documentation or led meta-analyses. Additionally, included self-reported outcomes with fluctuating observation periods, underscoring the necessity for more thorough area evaluations (Himmelstein *et al.*, 2022). Recording patient care is the backbone of a nurse's role and forms the vital communication that

charts nursing interventions. In Ireland, standards mandate precisely timed and well-documented care. Yet integrated and opportune nursing notes remain an everyday struggle where nurses continue fighting manual records. The prevalent practice of retrospective paper reports brings about inefficient time management and accuracy. A nationwide reform launched in 2013 aims to establish networked electronic health reports accessible across organizations and settings to streamline patient data transfer between systems (Kohane *et al.*, 2021). Transition to solely keyboard-based EHRs can be troublesome due to varying staff instruction demands, typing abilities, and general unhappiness with the presentation of the electronic interface (Higgins *et al.*, 2017; Sockolow, Liao, &Chittams&& Bowles, 2012; Stevenson, Nilsson, Petersson, & Johansson, 2010). Speech recognition innovations (SRT) electronically translate the user's spoken terms into text in real-time. Advocates declare potential benefits of newer EHR systems involving SRT include exactness, point-of-care logging, improved access to healthcare info (Cho, Kim, Choi, & Staggers, 2016), standardization of paperwork (Burke *et al.*, 2014), and cost-effectiveness of patient services (Boonstra, Versluis, &Vos, 2014). Voice recognition software has been adopted into EHRs for medical documentation globally and in a minimal number of healthcare organizations in Ireland (Tpro. ie, 2013). The precise number of hospitals nationally to have embraced SRT is unclear. Though medical centers and health systems are increasingly incorporating SRT into EHR to enhance clinical documentation, it remains unknown how the technology will affect the efficiency and quality of nursing documentation (Liu *et al.*, 2023).

Documentation aims to advance excellent patient care through optimal communication (Li *et al.*, 2022). Yet, what was once an exchange of data solely among clinicians, is now a source of statistics for numerous stakeholders: payers, researchers, and potential litigants, to name a few. One of the major factors in this transition has been the evolution of hospital reimbursement, which necessitates specific documentation. Diagnoses documented in the medical record are major determinants of hospital reimbursement and quality metric reporting and are essential elements of database research. On the other hand, the underperformance of the real-time documentation of accurate diagnosis results in inadequate portrayal of patient detail, poor assignment of diagnosis codes, and negative influence on reimbursement to the hospital (Azevedo *et al.*, 2021). This was done to depict the influence of the documentation of clinically relevant conditions on the nature of care, the complexity of patients, quality metrics, medical malpractice, research database accuracy, and reimbursements. Electronic Health Records (EHRs) have been widely implemented in the healthcare system over the past few years and have made a positive impact on the practice around the globe. EHRs offer the potential to make clinical workflows more streamlined, improving the cohesiveness of patient care, and improving the management of the healthcare systems. In the light of EHR implementation, the crucial element is its influence on CDI (Clinical Documentation Improvement) practices. CDI, which means the amelioration of the quality of clinical documentation in terms of the precision and the appropriateness of the documentation process, reflects the real severity of the patients' condition and the scope of the services provided. With the move from paper-based documentation to EHR systems, and EHR records, the concerns about the consequences of the transition for the CDI become necessary (Tayefi *et al.*, 2021).

Chapter Two

Overview of Electronic Health Records: EHRs, electronic health records, allow the collection of the patient's medical information in one digital format instead of on paper, making the delivery of healthcare services easier. EHRs are the primary storage place for demographics, medical history, diagnoses, medications, treatment plans, immunization records, lab results, and much other important data. Hospitals and healthcare facilities around the world have caught on to EHR adoption via initiatives geared towards improving quality, safety, and care coordination as well as cutting cost and workload associated with paper (Steinberg *et al.*, 2021). The electronic health records (EHR) instrument plays a key role in improving health safety,

services provision, productivity, and staff allocation. While nurses endorse EHRs' promise, they voice frustration with complex interfaces and onerous processes. This analysis explores nurse perspectives from research and urges involvement in technology decisions to ensure nursing needs shape EHR design (Meng *et al.*, 2021). The Nursing Practice Committee advocates protocol standardisation including educational materials and care plans within and eventually among all settings. Meaningful quality assessment necessitates consistent application of such resources. If nurses utilise other means lacking synchronised EHR patient education, their work faces disadvantages in digital performance comparisons within and between organizations (Adamson *et al.*, 2023). George commenced his career as a hospital nurse confronting outdated patient instruction and inconsistent documentation. Determined to enhance care, he collaborated with colleagues to develop evidence-driven specialty-tailored resources. George recognized directly engaging specialty nurses in continually updating and maintaining electronic materials ensured relevance (de *et al.*, 2022). George had recognized that while electronic records brought efficiencies, workflows could still improve. In design meetings with vendors and IT, he contributed to customising systems for optimal usability without sacrificing personalised care. Some co-workers worried standardized processes limited flexibility, but George highlighted research showing adhering to best practices optimised outcomes for each unique patient. By systematising documentation and streamlining assets while allowing clinical judgment room, they discovered they could enhance efficiency and quality (Mahajan *et al.*, 2023).

Electronic health records, promising rewards with suitable use, also introduce risks requiring attention. Intended to streamline decision-making, EHRs rely on structured entry and standardized workflows. Yet healthcare rejects rigid formulas; each case presents unique complexities. Advice of averages is indifferent to a few atypical cases. Overlooking the foreseeable gaps would result when the situation differs from the prearranged templates (Sunetal, 2022). The quality of insight from information is as good as the input that the user enters. The right questions should be asked and properly entered. Vague documentation and errors inevitably damage the credibility of a guide, especially in such crucial moments when silence might be the only option. Poor design of the records system, unfortunately, compounds honest mistakes, thus weakening the once-built ground of care. When organisational mistakes or lack of standards on safety negatively impact the integrity, safety will suffer and the value will go down. Undesired effects of the use of such technologies may go far and wide; as they may facilitate abuse and spark legal issues (Fries *et al.*, 2021). The following article looks into the effect of EHRs on nursing compassionately and the solution perspectives. Since productivity has an allure, there are also unnoticed costs in the absence of attentiveness. It is one thing to say what is needed and another to adjust your vision to accommodate what is obtainable on the ground. No system will ever be perfectly responsive to life's deviations; what we are prudent to do is offer flexibility in rigid structures. Partnership of people and tools will generate a better outcome in the complicated process of treatment (Duet of people and instruments will result in better results in complex work of healing - Kormilitzin *et al.*, 2021). Although e-health records aim to make care management more effective, their implementation faced these specific challenges for nurses in light of a study. Bowman, however, reveals that EHRs become more complex and difficult to learn, this means that clinicians may have to adopt the systems at a higher speed which may in turn, worsen safety hazards (Tagde *et al.*, 2021).

In the changing way that clinical data is collected, in the need to move between many computer systems, and in the multifaceted user performance metrics, there is difficulty in predicting issues or understanding failures. Besides interface flaws, bugs can be caused by overusing or abusing a product. The usability issues may be caused by unintuitive design, unclear displays, the absence of attributes, incompatibility, or insufficient training (Keshta *et al.*, 2021). Incorrect displays may confuse nurses who are given values from the erroneous machine calculations that changed the units of measurement incorrectly. Human intervention is often very critical in

the provision of healthcare because medical information is often complex and therefore even in situations where the direct patient impact might be absent due to the absence of human oversight health care providers are unable to analyze or comprehend the computations hindering effective response. For example, nurses can depend on the algorithms and decisions made by doctors without fully understanding the processes or the medical and clinical elements that were not included. Even further, human supervision demands the fact that the users often are busy during the shifts or undergoing intensive care where they do not have enough time or capability to attentively scan the computer findings and recommendations. Nevertheless, still, the current measures are to improve usability and reduce difficulties (Wu *et al*., 2022). EHR systems are a compelling option for healthcare system transformation if the initiative is executed well. However, where poor planning and implementation are involved, such ability to deal with complexity can introduce superfluous complexity, worsening the existing complexities and exposing to unintended adverse consequences like dosing mistakes due to an ineffective integration of humans and machines or lost data. While the main benefit is the ability to detect serious illnesses early and timely access to treatment thanks to the new interface, some disadvantages include not being able to identify serious illnesses at the right time and treatment delays because of the poor interface (Kronk *et al*., 2022).

Electronic health records are the means to make health care delivery transform in a brand new way, there is however a long way to go to make it a success. It is known from prior studies that paper-oriented practices sooner or later move to a digital paradigm in terms of the records' mutability, and the employment of clinical and auxiliary information for quality improvement. Authors depict EHRs as a longitudinal clinical record of a patient's history which is created through varied touchpoints among different care venues. Master files, which include demographic data, problems, medications, notes, test results such as blood pressure, etc., make it easier to access computerized records and solve the problem of legibility that is inherently associated with conventional models (Park *et al*., 2021). Interoperability of health information, clinical decision support, and computerized entry of orders are the functions that, if well-tailored and implemented, present exceedingly special promise. Data interchange between organizations through HIE guarantees that all levels of health care are coordinated as individuals receive treatment from different healthcare providers. The best practices embedded within records can guide the use of only the necessary tests or remedies by the practices, thus decreasing the rate of unnecessary or useless prescribing. Electronic ordering eliminates the discrepancies in drug prescriptions and gives a logical progression of the workflows (Getachew *et al*., 2023). The ability to collect, retain, and examine immense amounts of details is expected to transform all areas from scientific discovery (Finucane *et al*., 2021). Data-focused research has been widely touted specifically in the health field. Wellbeing records like Electronic Wellbeing Records (EHRs) were widely promoted based mostly on the guarantee that execution would result in a treasure trove of details that may very well be harnessed to improve the proficiency and quality of healthcare providers on a huge scale. While computational developments offer the circumstances for details intensive analyses in healthcare, the institutional drive for increased accountability has concurrently led to intensified oversight of healthcare providers (Hagström *et al*., 2021).

Healthcare isn't working in a vacuum; the transfer to quantified responsibility is part of a highly effective and worldwide development in the direction of details responsibility (Booth *et al*., 2021). In universities (Huang et al., 2021) and other sectors of training, algorithmic steps are applied to details representing the methods of each organisational provider. Institutional need and local adoption of details pushed the responsibility to enhance the need for extra correct details produced in specific formats. Thus, intricate technological forces driving data innovations, digitisation of health work modalities, and demands for data-driven accountability are contributing to what has been termed "data-intensive provisioning" in healthcare (Meskó *et al*., 2023), described as "efforts to obtain more

data, of higher quality, on more individuals. Provisioning is a vigorous system of generating, accumulating, refining, and storing data while also making them available for various functions, including research, management, and financial progress" (Thomas *et al*., 2021). Data-intensive provisioning places growing demands on healthcare workers. For example, as medical records are the primary source of data extraction, doctors are facing new expectations for documentation in patient charts to be thorough and comprehensive (Tebeje *et al*., 2021), so that other data laborers such as medical coders can extract high-quality data for uses including data-driven accountability. Henceforth, a novel line of examination has commenced to inspect the on-location work required to produce, control, analyze, and deploy data in healthcare performed by healthcare workers, including both clinicians and non-clinicians (Fatokunetal., 2021). Whereas this emerging research stream sheds light on how data-intensive provisioning is reshaping practices of existing workers, a related phenomenon remains unexplored: new and emerging occupations that have materialized to govern new forms of healthcare data labor. As outlined by scholars, occupations are understood through work duties, practitioners, actions, and support systems. New occupations developed through varied avenues, such as unpaid domestic roles becoming paid jobs or established fields assigning routine tasks elsewhere. They also emerged when novel duties surfaced (Wood *et al*., 2021). Commonly called "new" or "emerging," these occupation types in the U.S. frequently lack classification in the Bureau of Labor Statistics system but exist and proliferate. Interestingly, while data jobs materialized, intensive examinations of emerging occupations focused on data work are surprisingly scarce. One developing role is Clinical Documentation Integrity Specialist, or "CDIS." CDIS represents an emerging occupation excluded from recent classification versions. The category "Medical Records Specialists" refers to medical coders, a related yet noticeably different job; CDIS earn higher average salaries than coders and typically hold clinical backgrounds plus comprehensive experience. CDIS numbers are multiplying, with over 10,000 vacancies listed on one site recently. They formed a professional group in 2007 (van *et al*., 2021).

CDIS diligently scrutinizes physicians' notes in actual time, questioning medical practitioners to refine documentation. They pioneer educational programs teaching healthcare workers more refined documenting approaches, permitting coders to craft additional specific and comprehensive categorization systems. A seminal analysis of medical center charts discovered there are "reasonable" business clarifications for "subpar" records, Garfinkel mentioned. For treating doctors, notation initially aims to log and synchronize patient care, not administrative or statistical targets. Making notes for the latter aims necessitates extra attempts with time or inspiration; that period may as an alternative benefit individuals (Liu *et al*., 2021). CDIS tactfully motivates medical professionals to update "insufficient" medical documents; records fulfilling necessities for many decades. Whether CDIS contributes importance ultimately connects to information-driven choices and related requests for more - and finer-grained - facts. Yet, thoughtfully considering CDIS' work raises important inquiries about institutional expectations placed on healthcare databases, and by extension, information handlers. Medical charts are a key input for information-intensive decisions, and this source material is being fashioned by CDIS into richer intelligence - but what virtues form CDIS' perspective of "quality" medical files? As we will demonstrate, developments originate from certain overarching occupational values, or what we (motivated by Pickersgill) call virtue (Owen *et al*., 2021). However, realizing this vision demands overcoming no small number of hurdles, Challenges such as interoperability issues, expenditures, and training demands strain resource-strained systems. Meanwhile, clinicians juggle competing demands from patient volumes to documentation duties. Consequently, some well-meaning efforts fall short of optimized results. Nurses particularly bridge this gap, as their functions afford deep familiarity with patients and evolving technologies. With nursing knowledge and leadership, even imperfect systems may deliver care safely, efficiently, and centered on individual needs. Continued progress relies on acknowledging both technology's

opportunities and its constraints, guided by frontline professionals (Rasmy *et al*., 2021).

Evolution of Clinical Documentation Improvement: Clinical Documentation Improvement (CDI) has evolved significantly over time from primarily an administrative function focused on coding and billing to now playing a pivotal role in healthcare quality, safety, and the entire revenue cycle. Traditionally, CDI specialists centered their efforts on clarifying ambiguous or lacking clinical notes to ensure accurate coding, but the changing landscape toward value-based care and quality reporting has markedly expanded their purview. CDI professionals are now tasked with capturing extraordinarily comprehensive and exact clinical data to facilitate clinical decision-making, support reporting quality metrics, and inform evolving payment methodologies intended to reward better outcomes rather than volume (Thompson *et al*., 2021). In the past, medical trainees received no formal training regarding the role of CDI specialists, documentation guidelines, or how queries were applied. As a result, residents tended to view CDI specialists, their queries, and the CDI process essentially as a means to generate income for the hospital and attending physicians. With this in mind, our quality improvement initiative aimed to enhance physician-CDI specialist integration in three core areas: (1) optimizing the format of electronic query templates utilized by CDI specialists, (2) standardizing the timing for verbal queries during interdisciplinary team discussions, and (3) developing a didactic session for residents explaining the function of CDI specialists and how documentation influences quality, safety, and outcomes for hospitals, providers, and patients (Sheikh *et al*., 2021).

CDI programs have notably expanded in significance for healthcare infrastructures over time with CDI specialist teams, usually formed of specifically coached medical professionals, acting as intermediaries between coders and physicians. These CDI expert group individuals examine charts and pinpoint gaps in documentation concerning medical issues assessed, supervised, or tackled during medical center stays. This documentation was traditionally associated with aspects such as affected person seriousness, intricacy, and illness severity concerning payer payment levels. However, the developing impact of CDI has extended beyond only economic matters and CDI is currently interwoven into realms of care quality, protection, clinical investigation and systemwide preparation, ass*et al*location, and affected person outcomes (Abernethy *et al*., 2022). While CDI applications have seen notable successes via collaboration between registered CDIS and doctors, resulting in more complete documentation and a clearer view of patient needs, the documentation process itself presents challenges. Physician caseloads, care intricacy, and involvement of multiple specialists continue expanding, yet education around documentation often lacking. Furthermore, reliance on digital health records for notes can impair care, workflows, and satisfaction. Specifically, providers perceive comparable time spent documenting to time with patients, and more than placing orders, admission calls, exams, or procedures. However, even amidst rising complexities, accurate records remain important for quality. With open communication and support, documentation's benefits can still aid treatment despite demands on physicians. Continued initiatives aim to streamline systems and address evolving needs (Whitelaw *et al*., 2021).

Clinical documentation integrity standards can be frustrating to providers due to disconnects between clinical terms and coding. Coding complexity increases with more diagnoses, evolving terminology between ICD-9 and ICD-10. Clinically, ambiguity in diagnostic definitions, multiple criteria, and advancing medical knowledge add perplexity. When terminology doesn't align between clinical documentation and coding requirements, clarification queries arise - irritating doctors further. CDI policies on query delivery may seem opaque to clinicians. Answering queries requires assuming conditions' presence or writing documentation oneself, both restricted activities. Particular rules govern objective evidence and designating the professional required for recording also causes challenges (El *et al*., 2022). Some providers assume another clinician noting a

diagnosis removes their duty to document it. However, determining diagnoses remains limited to physicians, PAs, and NPs. Wound specialists competent and assessing patients still require primary confirmation, an extra step complicating suitable documentation by qualified experts outside designation groups (Manyazewal *et al*., 2021). Patient charts convey crucial insights though complexity warrants inspection. Notes chronicle improvements but shroud the care that shaped selections. Novices and theorists gain learning yet overlook the rationale of attendants. Records satisfy legalities but lack the warmth of dialogues. We record actions but not consistently the why. Uniformity risks oversimplification if paths to conclusions stay undocumented. Going forward, notes could cultivate comprehension by illuminating not merely outcomes but also the perspectives directing care. Conformity and care necessitate harmony through discourse, not just directives, so charts unveil the depth of reasoning behind all engagements (Ayaz *et al*., 2021).

Impact of EHR Adoption on CDI Practices: The adoption of electronic health records has brought both difficulties and opportunities for clinical documentation improvement practices. While electronic records provide many advantages, such as immediate access to patient information, decision support tools, and automated coding assistance, which can help ensure documentation is accurate and comprehensive, they also pose challenges. Usability issues, template-driven documentation, copying and pasting mistakes, and possible data overload may compromise the quality and integrity of clinical notes (Xie *et al*., 2022). In recent decades, private insurance corporations have increasingly demanded greater specificity and precise diagnostic terms in patients' charts to account for illness severity, mortality risk, and even reimbursement costs. It is for this reason that a novel type of nurse has emerged, tasked with implementing clinical documentation improvement programs so hospitals and healthcare professionals can guarantee payment for their services while properly documenting the care given to their patients. Stricter standards and new rules have resulted in medical centers across the country carefully reexamining their current processes and policies on multiple occasions. As private insurance companies refuse payment and dispute hospitals and medical providers on medical necessity and diagnosis charges under the new ICD-10 guidelines, hospitals have felt compelled to establish standards that can ensure maximum retrieval of reimbursement from these corporations. To initially comprehend and appreciate what a CDI specialist is and how they contribute to both the healthcare professionals and the organizations where they work, it's important to understand the current state of healthcare in America (Ghazi *et al*., 2022).

Within recent decades, medical insurance agencies have started necessitating further specificity and precise diagnostic terms in patients' records to account for the severity of sickness, risk of mortality, and even the cost of reimbursement. It is for this rationale that a novel breed of nurses is being conceived, tasked with executing clinical documentation progress programs so that medical centers and healthcare experts can ensure they are getting compensated for their services while also properly chronicling the care they offer their clients (Yuan *et al*., 2023). Stricter benchmarks and innovative regulations have medical centers across the country taking twofold, even three-time looks at their present practice and principles. As private medical insurance agencies deny payment and challenge medical centers and medical suppliers on medical necessity and diagnosis charges with the fresh ICD-10 directives, medical centers have been forced to put principles in place that can ensure the utmost recovery of reimbursement from these medical insurance agencies. To initially comprehend and value what a CDI expert is and how they contribute to not only the healthcare experts but also the associations in which they work, it's significant to understand where America presently is concerning healthcare (Chowdhury *et al*., 2021). In accordance healthcare is consistently changing and the introduction of the EHR systems, core measures, the "meaningful use" EHR incentive programs, value-based purchasing, and the ICD10-CM/PCS code sets have bombarded the healthcare industry with difficulties over recent years. Since the early 1990s, there has been an increase in federal regulations and accreditation agencies necessitating healthcare

associations to report quality of care measures to agencies such as the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), the Joint Commission, and the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) (Mbunge *et al.*, 2021). According to Chtourou (2013), since 2002, the Joint Commission and CMS implemented a requirement for hospitals to collect and report data on standardized performance measures, known as core measures. All of these standards and requirements result in quality reporting and are a vital component to initiatives for financial incentives or penalties based on how a specific hospital compares to those quality improvement programs. However, the relationship between documentation errors and inconsistencies amongst healthcare professionals is far more intricate and nuanced than most assume, as evidenced by deficiencies in trust between colleagues, lack of time and sufficient resources, unreliable computers, and problematic electronic health records (Ng *et al.*, 2021). As Marwaha *et al.*, (2022) stated, restrictions on time, resources and technology can breed disconnect among medical staff. An overreliance on copy and pasting allows erroneous or inaccurate documentation to propagate unintentionally to another evaluation. In hindsight, insufficient trust prompts healthcare providers to excessively analyze and criticise the clinical records of their peers, sometimes generating conflicting information. According to Cnattingius *et al.*, (2023), clinical documentation improvement specialists can help practitioners focus more on patient care and alleviate some frustration and puzzle when striving to document properly. To build a successful CDI program, organizations must recruit candidates who will benefit the dynamics of the institution and meet eligibility criteria involving work history, education, and certifications.

Letourneau elucidated in 2014 that constructing an effective CDI program while employing suitable candidates can indeed pose difficulties since one necessitates either medical professionals adept at coding or coders with strong clinical knowledge. Optimizing clinical documentation should be a pivotal concentration for all medical facilities, and establishing flourishing CDI programs is critical to capturing the quality measures essential for comprehensive patient case reports, coding principles, and allocating the suitable working analysis. An additional significant facet in cultivating a thriving CDI program is ensuring that the CDI Experts have medical supporters who can assist in relaying the importance of full and concise documentation to the clinical team. Completing documentation fully the first time is paramount, as is maintaining an open dialogue between clinicians and CDI specialists. While the process of improving documentation quality is ongoing, facilities that prioritise this work reap rewards in accuracy as well as revenue (Aldughayfiq *et al.*, 2021). As for modern medical practices inclusive of the digital documentation era, healthcare facilities witnessed dramatic changes in the way that they keep the records and trustworthiness of their care. Early efforts to gain favor from government and independent organisations resulted in competition for metrics of centers that were being audited by the agencies responsible for standards. Another article highlighted the introduction from 2002 by The Joint Commission and CMS of core measures reporting that was mandatory and hospitals were obliged to have a standard system of collection. As a result, their responsibilities and mandate included compulsory reporting of quality, which often was taken into consideration during reward or punishment procedures linked to a hospital's outcomes and the overall improvement plan (Yao *et al.*, 2022).

The complex tapestry interconnecting misalignments in documentation, members of care team disagreements and lack of trust between colleagues, compressed workloads, limited resources, incompatible technologies, and flaws within electronic health records constitute a much more complicated phenomenon than it seems on the surface. The research highlighted the fact that time constraints, resource limitations, and even a lack of computer equipment could create conflicts among doctors as they try to keep up with their workload. It entails that when people only rely on their previous notes, it enables the transmission of wrong or misleading details, which in turn spreads through successive evaluations, while

skepticism drives professionals to excessively evaluate each other's medical narratives, which often results in divergent versions (Cliff *et al.*, 2021). According to experts, CDI Specialists may relieve the situation where providers are under heavy documentation loads while at the same time catching up with reimbursement requirements. To get a desirable CDI program, organisations need to find those candidates with the ability to harmonize with the organisational culture and their qualifications should include a record of hard work, an educational background that brings relevant skills, and certifications (Giordano *et al.*, 2021). A case study from 2014 by Letourneau highlighted some of the hidden hurdles in clinically incorporating documentation improvement (CDI) like personnel who are appropriate in quality and recruitment or either having a well-educated clinician with an in-depth understanding of coding or a coder with a profound clinical knowledge. Optimisation of clinical documentation must be a top priority among all medical institutions. Thus, Letourneau pointed that out in 2014, and the establishment of efficient CDI programs is essential for storing the quality metrics required for patient records, adhering to coding standards, and displaying the diagnostic considerations as it was recommended by Beaty in 2005. Moreover, the development of the CDI program as a successful one entails CDI experts enjoying the involvement of physician champions who can explain to the clinical team the significance of detailed yet concise documentation as well as Improving Clinical Documentation that was written in 2014 (Javaid *et al.*, 2022).

Medical professionals worldwide have migrated from handwritten notes to digital documentation methods. Over half of the office-based practices and medical centers in North America currently leverage some form of digital health record for patient charting. According to recent studies examining the topic, "clinical electronic documentation" refers to the digitisation of medical treatment reports, clinical trial data, or test results. Relative to paper charts, electronic health records produce clear, legible information that supports quality care, inter-professional communication, quality assurance efforts, and administrative databases for research. While digital documentation has existed since the 1960s, evaluations of the medical literature show that the standard and usability of electronic documentation are generally unpolished. Several issues with computerized charting have been pinpointed (Haleem *et al.*, 2021). Standardization in medical documentation can falter when procedures ignore completing earlier segments before advancing. In part, unstructured freeform fields rather than predefined options correlate with heightened mistake frequencies. Resistance to digitizing processes also inhibits uniformity and may impact information quality and usability. Poor electronic records can negatively influence many outcomes, like a patient's health status. For example, repetitively replicating past hospitalizations can misportray the individual's current health issues (Jiang *et al.*, 2023). Recording clinical details is pivotal for ensuring patient safety and quality of care. Documentation that leans too heavily on pre-replete fields, for instance, can potentially guide to medication blunders or undermine research by exploiting administrative databases. Documentation challenges are particularly pertinent within hospital settings, as coded inpatient records furnish key data utilised by organisations appraising health policies and systems in nations similar to Canada (Stoumpos *et al.*, 2023). Producing structured medical files helps optimize treatment, facilitates coordination amongst caregivers, and supports audits, studies, as well as potential malpractice cases. Guidance for documentation was at the start delineated by the General Medical Council's framework for moral practice, emphasizing clear, timely notes. Present norms endorsed by the Royal College of Physicians still emphasize legibility and accuracy to dodge issues. However, most documentation remains handwritten, leaving it prone to inadequacies that may compromise care, such as illegible scribbling, mistaken patient IDs, wrong timestamps, absent signatures, or lost files - individually or combined raising risks of errors impacting clients. Transitioning to electronic formats could help address persistent difficulties, though enactment presents its own problems to consider (Mani *et al.*, 2021). EHRs have been advanced as a possible answer to allow medical professionals to efficiently document

thorough clinical records. The EHR is operationally defined by the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology as 'a longitudinal compilation of patient-centric healthcare knowledge available across providers, care environments and time periods'. By maintaining an accurate record of a patient's medical history, EHRs aim to streamline and optimize a clinician's workflow. This in theory not only establishes a more robust collection of health records but also has the benefit of expanded accessibility, portability, security and searchability. The UK government designed the ambitious National Health Service Long Term Plan which targets fully digitizing all health records by 2024. The plan acknowledges both the current challenges and future goals to enhance person-centered care through improved use of technology and data sharing. Although a few optimistic physicians are still cautious about the process, the general consensus is that such an initiative brings about more benefits for the patients than any initial problems or growing pains caused by modernizing, computerized medical records (Dagliati *et al*., 2021).

Challenges and Opportunities: The instance of CDI practices in a digital health record era may result i several issues and opportunities that require foresight and careful planning to be overcome. The challenges that require special consideration are heterogeneous and cover a very large area, however, mainly three areas need to be considered (Ibrahim *et al*., 2021). The necessary skills and training for healthcare professionals to formulate digital entry and documentation is of primary concern. As a result of the platform shift to digital, members will have to invest much time on preparation in order to use these programs appropriately for recording purposes. Competency matters for precise and on-time recording of patient details, which thereby reduces the chances of errors and exceeds the note quality. Organizations ought to allocate resources to teaching and coaching that are continuous so as to equip the workers with the skills to become productive in EH usage maintenance (Ronquillo *et al*., 2021). Another point to be mentioned is interoperability testing and data reliability within different systems. Interoperable healthcare systems can only be realized with the proper integration of different platforms in place. Thanks to numerous validation processes, consisting of verifying the accuracy and consistency of the details, between the EHRs, which leads to a better record and outcome, is also emphasized. Standardization of configurations as well as protocols could contribute to interconnectivity in the future (Ali *et al*., 2021). Also, the cybersecurity hazards imbedded in computerized records bring up the matter of privacy and information protection. The transfer of sensitive medical files to the digital world has now brought about the need to establish strong security measures that will prevent the unauthorized access or exploitation of such information. It's the duty of organizations to safeguard privacy of patients by means of a range of security measures to ensure that any potential breaches do not occur (Bitar *et al*., 2021).

Computerised medical records (EMR) were created with the purpose of lessening the complexity of workflows and sharing information with different medical providers; yet, their use across the board brought to the rise of burnout cases caused by the paperwork. Healthcare facilities are encouraged to use encryption and login restriction as a tool to counter hacking and for the staff to engage in privacy training (Verma *et al*., 2021). The use of devices to dictate notes and preloaded sections helps to speed up the process and giving more time to physicians. Clinical decision aids, on the other hand, do not overwhelm physicians with a plethora of options but instead they suggest evidence based diagnosis and treatments what are relevant, thus the records get completed. The rising of the clerical staff, such as medical scribes, will give more time for doctors to spend with patients (Fosso *et al*., 2023). The solution on documentation overburdens must be approached with a multifaceted approach. The use of sophisticated systems to make things efficient and the transfer of duties can help humanize the health system and the clinicians re-center their attention on care delivery. Combining the use of technological innovations as well as training the staff with optimal digital documentation recording can, at the same time, enhance the quality and integrity of the documentation as it relates to

patient outcomes (AlQudah *et al*., 2021). Healthcare professionals encounter dilemmas when using EHRs, as they disturb the workflow and may have negative effects on their well-being at work. However, the integration of documentation progress results in more opportunities. Providing supportive links by means of interactive windows loaded with relevant medical information should ensure that the patient details are captured fully for a better analysis and management of the patient's medical record. Job-related policies that promote staff engagement help grappling with the paperwork challenges (Bui *et al*., 2021). The area of clinical documentation in electronic health records can be enhanced greatly when the decision support tools are included. These tools do it by instantaneously providing relevant suggestions and recommendations that are aligned with the set guidelines. Therefore, the medical practitioners are able to capture all the important data, and ultimately they will be able to have accurate billing and reporting systems (Hui *et al*., 2021). The latest technologies in natural language processing and machine learning algorithms can automatically examine free text notes for any errors or inconsistencies. These tools can identify possible documentation faults at the moment thus they can provide a feedback in time for effective interventions and aid clinical documentation progress (Papoutsi *et al*, 2021).

Through the use of natural language processing and machine learning, large amount of raw medical data may be analyzed and repeated patterns of such factors that can be considered as chances to improve documentation culture and practices can be spotted. Through giving specialists and providers a chance to learn more and make deliberate efforts over improving the quality of notes, these technologies help them achieve this (Trenfield *et al*., 2022). Communication between clinical documentation developers, practitioners, and developers of electronic health records is critical to the coming up of user-friendly documentation templates and workflows. When the clinician's opinions are considered and the developers' skills are harnessed, the design of electronic health systems can be customized to meet the specific needs of clinical documentation improvement initiatives, increasing users' satisfaction and efficiency (Ghazal *et al*., 2021). Integrating clinicians, doctors, and electronic health record designers who in the process of designing templates and workflows synchronize the documentation with clinical workflows and the optimized practices. Through involving end-users in design and improvements of electronic health systems, medical organizations can develop documentation solutions which are instinctive, easy to use; and in turn, promote the habit of precise and comprehensive documentation (Pourmand *et al*., 2021). Digital health records might pose technological issues for clinical documentation improvement, but these difficulties have their own solutions that can be used to give better service to patients. At the same time, looking ahead and collecting data from all possible sources can improve effectiveness by making it more organized. The decision help tool, by utilizing mature technologies related to natural language processing and machine learning, and encouraging teamwork between healthcare professionals, providers, and developers can maximize the electronic records' ability to fine-tune processes and improve the outcomes. Sentence composed of different structures but not of convoluted sentences is clear and complex at the same time. Therefore, all these components, documentation and outcomes, take place in succession (Wong *et al*., 2022).

Summary

The healthcare delivery phenomenon is shifting at the moment with the electronic health records integration into clinical documentation improvement processes. This in-depth study, is focused on both sides of the coin, which are the challenges as well as the opportunities that result from this union, the sources of which are diverse and include (Da *et al*., 2021). Electronic health records provide a digital storage of patient medical information, the way that providers keep and maintain clinical data is a revolution. Electronic health records are serving to transform the way healthcare providers operate and also dramatically improve care coordination. Through the medical history, demographic details, diagnosis, medication, and treatment plans, electronic health

records are facilitating smooth clinical workflows. While this transition to digital documentation brings about implications for clinical documentation improvement practices, the issues of accuracy and specificity of clinical notes remain at the spotlight (Omboni *et al.*, 2022). Previously, the main focus of clinical documentation improvement specialists was just to verify that the correct coding and billing was done, by making sure that the notes were well-written and contained all necessary information. On the other hand, the push towards value based care and quality reporting, makes documentation improvement a lot more broad, meaning that for now the significance of capturing detailed and accurate clinical details are more than ever. This development, however, exemplifies the critical part that clinical documentation improvement plays in health care in terms of the decision making process, clinical outcomes and reimbursement. However, the e-health record implementation although in theory have some benefits, still face some difficulties. On the other hand, the trainer may encounter the issue of facilitating users' competency and skills in electronic health record documentation. Electronic health records (EHRs) are human's tools for documentation, therefore healthcare workers should receive comprehensive preparation to operate them skilfully. Seemingly, sufficient proficiency must be noted so as to make it possible to reduce errors and ensure the quality of the clinical documentation. Organisations should allocate resources to training programs and educational courses to make sure that staff members keep updated with the latest use and benefits of electronic health records (Alonso *et al.*, 2021).

Interoperability between electronic health records and clinical documentation satisfaction has emerged as a major criterion in increasing EHR use for CDI practices. EHR interoperability is no less than a must for making smoother data accessibility between the hospitals, doctors and other healthcare providers. The development of specifications to be common in data formats and protocols is a key factor to enable interoperability between EHR platforms. Also, an advantageous method of data validation must be implemented in order to make the information more accurate and to make sure that the information transmitted across the different systems is uniform (Kaihlanen *et al.*, 2022). Moreover, the advancement of the EHRs into the digital field brings cyber security threats such as data breaches and confidentiality violations to the forefront. We must toughen the security levels to maintain the integrity of patients' privacy and data confidentiality from unauthorized access. Organizations need to specify cyber security protocols, namely encryption, permit and pass control, and regular audits, to clarify the danger of data breaches and unauthorized admittance (El *et al.*, 2021). The consequences of EHR adoption for doctors' professional well-being and documentation workload are also included in the list of issues associated with it. The amplified utilisation of EHRs for documentation assignments has led to physician burnout, and the issue of administrative burden. Shortening documentation procedures and lessening administrative work is a perfect way to resolve these problems, providing an opportunity for clinicians to concentrate more on patient care. Implementing voice recognition software, natural language processing algorithms, and template-based documentation are the factors that can simplify the documentation process, and in turn reduce the time and effort put in by an individual for the purpose of data entry (Ji *et al.*, 2021).

However, these obstacles present grounds for further opportunities. For instance, integrating clinical decision support tools into the EHR systems will not only improve the accuracy and completeness of documentation but also enhance its correctness. These tools provide clinicians with live, process-guided cues and suggestions that are in line with established guidelines, enabling clinicians to record all required clinical information. Application of advanced technologies, such as Natural Language Processing (NLP) and machine learning algorithms, can assist in the analysis of clinical documents to detect critical areas with potential errors. The technology provides structured data in addition to the notes, allowing CDI experts and clinicians to make appropriate interventions to fill the gaps in certain areas and improve the documentation quality as a whole (Subrahmanya *et al.*, 2022).

Working together as a team among CDI professionals, clinicians and EHR vendors is important for the development of documentation templates and workflow that's conducive to clinical usage. Users' involvement in all stages of development and improvement is vital for settling on documentation techniques that perfectly incorporate clinical needs and best practices. Customizing EHR systems in a way that meets all CDI initiatives will be accomplished by making use of providers' insights and the vendor's expertise which will as a result lead to usability and streamlined documentation processes (Hatef *et al.*, 2021). The incorporation of EHRs in CDI practices has posed barriers as well as the potential for healthcare facilities to reap the benefits. Interoperability problems, inadequacy of training qualifications, lack of control over data, and simplification of documenting can be tackled through joint measures. While it is hard to achieve this, capitalizing on opportunities to improve the quality of care through integrating clinical decision support tools, emerging technology, and networking between the stakeholders will eventually make all these programs more effective and better patient care. By means of employing tactical initiatives and technical innovations, e-healthcare facilities can realise the EHR potential in order to improve documentation patterns and get the best possible results for patients (Iyanna *et al.*, 2022).

CONCLUSION

In closing, the merging of Digital Medical Records (EMR) into Medical Documentation Improvement (MDI) practices represents a pivotal change in the landscape of healthcare provision. This complex transformation holds both difficulties and opportunities emerging from this integration, drawing insights from diverse academic works. As organizations navigate this momentous journey, careful consideration of the multifaceted impacts is imperative to chart an strategic path forward. The adoption of EMRs harbors tremendous potential for streamlining clinical workflows, boosting care coordination, and enhancing productivity across healthcare systems. EMRs serve as thorough repositories of patient medical data, providing real-time access to pivotal clinical information and decision support tools. Furthermore, transitioning from paper records to digital platforms aligns with broader initiatives aimed at improving quality of care, patient safety, and outcomes. However, this transition also presents challenges. Ensuring user proficiency and training in EMR documentation emerges as one primary hurdle. Healthcare personnel require comprehensive and ongoing training to effectively leverage EMR platforms for documentation purposes. Adequate competency is essential to minimize errors and optimize documentation quality. Institutions must invest resources in sustained educational programs to guarantee staff remain adept at utilizing EMR systems productively. Interoperability among providers and institutions also surfaces as a critical challenge in merging EMRs with MDI practices. The seamless exchange of data is pivotal for coordinated care delivery and informed decision making. Efforts to standardize formats and protocols are necessary to facilitate interoperability across diverse EMR systems. Additionally, robust processes should validate information shared between platforms to ensure accuracy and consistency. Furthermore, the confidentiality threats introduced by electronic health records call for robust cyber security measures. Institutions must prioritize protection by encrypting data and controlling access alongside periodic reviews, safeguarding patients' private medical files.

Physician burnout and paperwork duties are exacerbated by increased EHR reliance on administrative tasks, diverting time from patients. Tailoring documentation through voice recognition, standardized templates and natural language processing may help reduce documentation loads and free up clinicians for care. Integrating clinical decision support into systems also stands to boost documentation quality by offering guideline recommendations in real-time to capture all pertinent clinical details, some which may otherwise be missed. Advanced technologies including natural language analysis and machine learning can examine documentation to pinpoint deficiencies or inconsistencies, providing specialists with

actionable insights for targeted interventions. Cooperation between users, vendors and clinical documentation specialists is key to customize workflows according to clinical necessities and best practices via feedback. By meaningfully involving frontline providers in development and optimization, documentation solutions can enhance usability and proficiency while supporting CDI goals. Undoubtedly, combining EHRs with CDI routines presents hurdles as well as opportunities for healthcare institutions. Addressing obstacles through extensive preparation, connectivity initiatives, security measures, and streamlined documentation is pivotal. However, capitalizing on prospects for progress and innovation, such as integrating decision support tools, leveraging cutting-edge technologies, and collaborative efforts between stakeholders, can optimize CDI effectiveness and ultimately enhance patient care delivery. Through strategic planning and technological advancement, healthcare organizations can harness the full power of EHR systems to refine documentation practices and maximize patient outcome results. While healthcare progresses rapidly, maintaining a focus on educational collaboration and discovery ensures that electronic records and clinical documentation improve together. By closely tracking shifting trends, optimized workflows, and innovations in technology, organizations can adjust flexibly to changes and opportunities in the digital transformation of medicine. At their best, the skilled integration of EHR systems into clinical data interpretation fulfils the potential to reimagine how care is delivered, experienced, and strengthened for all. Some patients may receive treatments more tailored to their precise needs, while innovative uses of aggregated data promise new insights toward prevention or cures that can benefit communities for years to come.

REFERENCES

Abernethy, A., Adams, L., Barrett, M., Bechtel, C., Brennan, P., Butte, A., Faulkner, J., Fontaine, E., Friedhoff, S., Halamka, J. and Howell, M., 2022. The promise of digital health: then, now, and the future. *NAM perspectives*, *2022*.

Adamson, B., Waskom, M., Blarre, A., Kelly, J., Krismer, K., Nemeth, S., Gippetti, J., Ritten, J., Harrison, K., Ho, G. and Linzmayer, R., 2023. Approach to machine learning for extraction of real-world data variables from electronic health records. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, *14*, p.1180962.

Aldughayfiq, B. and Sampalli, S., 2021. Digital health in physicians' and pharmacists' office: a comparative study of e-prescription systems' architecture and digital security in eight countries. *Omics: a journal of integrative biology*, *25*(2), pp.102-122.

Ali, A., Rahim, H.A., Pasha, M.F., Dowsley, R., Masud, M., Ali, J. and Baz, M., 2021. Security, privacy, and reliability in digital healthcare systems using blockchain. *Electronics*, *10*(16), p.2034.

Alonso, S.G., Marques, G., Barrachina, I., Garcia-Zapirain, B., Arambarri, J., Salvador, J.C. and de la Torre Díez, I., 2021. Telemedicine and e-Health research solutions in literature for combatting COVID-19: a systematic review. *Health and technology*, *11*, pp.257-266.

AlQudah, A.A., Al-Emran, M. and Shaalan, K., 2021. Technology acceptance in healthcare: a systematic review. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(22), p.10537.

Ayaz, M., Pasha, M.F., Alzahrani, M.Y., Budiarto, R. and Stiawan, D., 2021. The Fast Health Interoperability Resources (FHIR) standard: systematic literature review of implementations, applications, challenges and opportunities. *JMIR medical informatics*, *9*(7), p.e21929.

Azevedo, O.A.D. and Cruz, D.D.A.L.M.D., 2021. Quality indicators of the nursing process documentation in clinical practice. *Revistabrasileira de Enfermagem*, *74*, p.e20201355.

Bitar, H. and Alismail, S., 2021. The role of eHealth, telehealth, and telemedicine for chronic disease patients during COVID-19 pandemic: A rapid systematic review. *Digital health*, *7*, p.20552076211009396.

Booth, R.G., Strudwick, G., McBride, S., O'Connor, S. and López, A.L.S., 2021. How the nursing profession should adapt for a digital future. *bmj*, *373*.

Bui, L.V., Ha, S.T., Nguyen, H.N., Nguyen, T.T., Nguyen, T.P., Tran, K., Tran, T.V., Nguyen, T.H., Tran, T.H., Pham, N.D. and Bui, H.M., 2021. The contribution of digital health in the response to COVID-19 in Vietnam. *Frontiers in public health*, *9*, p.672732.

Cerchione, R., Centobelli, P., Riccio, E., Abbate, S. and Oropallo, E., 2023. Blockchain's coming to hospital to digitalize healthcare services: Designing a distributed electronic health record ecosystem. *Technovation*, *120*, p.102480.

Chintalapudi, N., Battineni, G., Di Canio, M., Sagaro, G.G. and Amenta, F., 2021. Text mining with sentiment analysis on seafarers' medical documents. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, *1*(1), p.100005.

Chowdhury, M., Cervantes, E.G., Chan, W.Y. and Seitz, D.P., 2021. Use of machine learning and artificial intelligence methods in geriatric mental health research involving electronic health record or administrative claims data: a systematic review. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, *12*, p.738466.

Cliff, B.Q., Avancena, A.L., Hirth, R.A. and Lee, S.Y.D., 2021. The impact of Choosing Wisely interventions on low-value medical services: a systematic review. *The Milbank Quarterly*, *99*(4), pp.1024-1058.

Cnattingius, S., Källén, K., Sandström, A., Rydberg, H., Månsson, H., Stephansson, O., Frisell, T. and Ludvigsson, J.F., 2023. The Swedish medical birth register during five decades: documentation of the content and quality of the register. *European journal of epidemiology*, *38*(1), pp.109-120.

Da Fonseca, M.H., Kovaleski, F., Picinin, C.T., Pedroso, B. and Rubbo, P., 2021, September. E-health practices and technologies: a systematic review from 2014 to 2019. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 9, No. 9, p. 1192). MDPI.

Dagliati, A., Malovini, A., Tibollo, V. and Bellazzi, R., 2021. Health informatics and EHR to support clinical research in the COVID-19 pandemic: an overview. *Briefings in bioinformatics*, *22*(2), pp.812-822.

de Mello, B.H., Rigo, S.J., da Costa, C.A., da Rosa Righi, R., Donida, B., Bez, M.R. and Schunke, L.C., 2022. Semantic interoperability in health records standards: a systematic literature review. *Health and technology*, *12*(2), pp.255-272.

El Khatib, M., Hamidi, S., Al Ameeri, I., Al Zaabi, H. and Al Marqab, R., 2022. Digital disruption and big data in healthcare-opportunities and challenges. *ClinicoEconomics and Outcomes Research*, pp.563-574.

El OtmaniDehbi, Z., Sedrati, H., Chaqsare, S., IdrissiAzami, A., Merzouki, M., Raji, M., Rhalem, W., Al Idrissi, N., Nejjari, C., Amzazi, S. and Ghazal, H., 2021. Moroccan digital health response to the COVID-19 crisis. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *9*, p.690462.

Fatokun, T., Nag, A. and Sharma, S., 2021. Towards a blockchain assisted patient owned system for electronic health records. *Electronics*, *10*(5), p.580.

Finucane, A.M., O'Donnell, H., Lugton, J., Gibson-Watt, T., Swenson, C. and Pagliari, C., 2021. Digital health interventions in palliative care: a systematic meta-review. *NPJ digital medicine*, *4*(1), p.64.

FossoWamba, S. and Queiroz, M.M., 2023. Responsible artificial intelligence as a secret ingredient for digital health: Bibliometric analysis, insights, and research directions. *Information Systems Frontiers*, *25*(6), pp.2123-2138.

Fries, J.A., Steinberg, E., Khattar, S., Fleming, S.L., Posada, J., Callahan, A. and Shah, N.H., 2021. Ontology-driven weak supervision for clinical entity classification in electronic health records. *Nature communications*, *12*(1), p.2017.

Getachew, E., Adebeta, T., Muzazu, S.G., Charlie, L., Said, B., Tesfahunei, H.A., Wanjiru, C.L., Acam, J., Kajogoo, V.D., Solomon, S. and Atim, M.G., 2023. Digital health in the era of COVID-19: Reshaping the next generation of healthcare. *Frontiers in public health*, *11*, p.942703.

Ghazal, T.M., 2021. Internet of things with artificial intelligence for health care security. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*.

Ghazi, L., Yamamoto, Y., Riello, R.J., Coronel-Moreno, C., Martin, M., O'Connor, K.D., Simonov, M., Huang, J., Olufade, T., McDermott, J. and Dhar, R., 2022. Electronic alerts to improve heart failure therapy in outpatient practice: a cluster randomized trial. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 79(22), pp.2203-2213.

Gianfrancesco, M.A. and Goldstein, N.D., 2021. A narrative review on the validity of electronic health record-based research in epidemiology. *BMC medical research methodology*, 21(1), p.234.

Giordano, C., Brennan, M., Mohamed, B., Rashidi, P., Modave, F. and Tighe, P., 2021. Accessing artificial intelligence for clinical decision-making. *Frontiers in digital health*, 3, p.645232.

Hagström, H., Adams, L.A., Allen, A.M., Byrne, C.D., Chang, Y., Grønbæk, H., Ismail, M., Jepsen, P., Kanwal, F., Kramer, J. and Lazarus, J.V., 2021. Administrative coding in electronic health care record-based research of NAFLD: an expert panel consensus statement. *Hepatology*, 74(1), pp.474-482.

Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Singh, R.P., Suman, R. and Rab, S., 2021. Blockchain technology applications in healthcare: An overview. *International Journal of Intelligent Networks*, 2, pp.130-139.

Hatef, E., Ma, X., Rouhizadeh, M., Singh, G., Weiner, J.P. and Kharrazi, H., 2021. Assessing the impact of social needs and social determinants of health on health care utilization: using patient-and community-level data. *Population health management*, 24(2), pp.222-230.

Himmelstein, G., Bates, D. and Zhou, L., 2022. Examination of stigmatizing language in the electronic health record. *JAMA Network Open*, 5(1), pp.e2144967-e2144967.

Holmgren, A.J., Downing, N.L., Bates, D.W., Shanafelt, T.D., Milstein, A., Sharp, C.D., Cutler, D.M., Huckman, R.S. and Schulman, K.A., 2021. Assessment of electronic health record use between US and non-US health systems. *JAMA internal medicine*, 181(2), pp.251-259.

Huang, N., Yan, Z. and Yin, H., 2021. Effects of online–offline service integration on e-healthcare providers: a quasi-natural experiment. *Production and Operations Management*, 30(8), pp.2359-2378.

Hui, D., Heung, Y. and Bruera, E., 2022. Timely palliative care: personalizing the process of referral. *Cancers*, 14(4), p.1047.

Ibrahim, H., Liu, X., Zariffa, N., Morris, A.D. and Denniston, A.K., 2021. Health data poverty: an assailable barrier to equitable digital health care. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 3(4), pp.e260-e265.

Iyanna, S., Kaur, P., Ractham, P., Talwar, S. and Islam, A.N., 2022. Digital transformation of healthcare sector. What is impeding adoption and continued usage of technology-driven innovations by end-users?. *Journal of Business Research*, 153, pp.150-161.

Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R.P., Suman, R. and Rab, S., 2022. Significance of machine learning in healthcare: Features, pillars and applications. *International Journal of Intelligent Networks*, 3, pp.58-73.

Ji, S., Hölttä, M. and Marttinen, P., 2021. Does the magic of BERT apply to medical code assignment? A quantitative study. *Computers in biology and medicine*, 139, p.104998.

Jiang, L.Y., Liu, X.C., Nejatian, N.P., Nasir-Moin, M., Wang, D., Abidin, A., Eaton, K., Riina, H.A., Laufer, I., Punjabi, P. and Miceli, M., 2023. Health system-scale language models are all-purpose prediction engines. *Nature*, 619(7969), pp.357-362.

Kaihlanen, A.M., Virtanen, L., Buchert, U., Safarov, N., Valkonen, P., Hietapakka, L., Hörhammer, I., Kujala, S., Kouvonen, A. and Heponiemi, T., 2022. Towards digital health equity-a qualitative study of the challenges experienced by vulnerable groups in using digital health services in the COVID-19 era. *BMC health services research*, 22(1), p.188.

Keshta, I. and Odeh, A., 2021. Security and privacy of electronic health records: Concerns and challenges. *Egyptian Informatics Journal*, 22(2), pp.177-183.

Kohane, I.S., Aronow, B.J., Avillach, P., Beaulieu-Jones, B.K., Bellazzi, R., Bradford, R.L., Brat, G.A., Cannataro, M., Cimino, J.J., García-Barrio, N. and Gehlenborg, N., 2021. What every reader should know about studies using electronic health record data but may be afraid to ask. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 23(3), p.e22219.

Kormilitzin, A., Vaci, N., Liu, Q. and Nevado-Holgado, A., 2021. Med7: A transferable clinical natural language processing model for electronic health records. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 118, p.102086.

Kronk, C.A., Everhart, A.R., Ashley, F., Thompson, H.M., Schall, T.E., Goetz, T.G., Hiatt, L., Derrick, Z., Queen, R., Ram, A. and Guthman, E.M., 2022. Transgender data collection in the electronic health record: current concepts and issues. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 29(2), pp.271-284.

Li, I., Pan, J., Goldwasser, J., Verma, N., Wong, W.P., Nuzumlalı, M.Y., Rosand, B., Li, Y., Zhang, M., Chang, D. and Taylor, R.A., 2022. Neural natural language processing for unstructured data in electronic health records: a review. *Computer Science Review*, 46, p.100511.

Liu, J., Wang, C. and Liu, S., 2023. Utility of ChatGPT in clinical practice. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25, p.e48568.

Liu, Y., Cheng, H., Klopfer, R., Gormley, M.R. and Schaaf, T., 2021, November. Effective convolutional attention network for multi-label clinical document classification. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing* (pp. 5941-5953).

Mahajan, H.B., Rashid, A.S., Junnarkar, A.A., Uke, N., Deshpande, S.D., Futane, P.R., Alkhayyat, A. and Alhayani, B., 2023. RETRACTED ARTICLE: Integration of Healthcare 4.0 and blockchain into secure cloud-based electronic health records systems. *Applied Nanoscience*, 13(3), pp.2329-2342.

Mani, V., Manickam, P., Alotaibi, Y., Alghamdi, S. and Khalaf, O.I., 2021. Hyperledgerhealthchain: patient-centric IPFS-based storage of health records. *Electronics*, 10(23), p.3003.

Manyazewal, T., Woldeamanuel, Y., Blumberg, H.M., Fekadu, A. and Marconi, V.C., 2021. The potential use of digital health technologies in the African context: a systematic review of evidence from Ethiopia. *NPJ digital medicine*, 4(1), p.125.

Marwaha, J.S., Landman, A.B., Brat, G.A., Dunn, T. and Gordon, W.J., 2022. Deploying digital health tools within large, complex health systems: key considerations for adoption and implementation. *NPJ digital medicine*, 5(1), p.13.

Mbunge, E., Muchemwa, B. and Batani, J., 2021. Sensors and healthcare 5.0: transformative shift in virtual care through emerging digital health technologies. *Global Health Journal*, 5(4), pp.169-177.

Meng, Y., Speier, W., Ong, M.K. and Arnold, C.W., 2021. Bidirectional representation learning from transformers using multimodal electronic health record data to predict depression. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, 25(8), pp.3121-3129.

Meskó, B. and Topol, E.J., 2023. The imperative for regulatory oversight of large language models (or generative AI) in healthcare. *NPJ digital medicine*, 6(1), p.120.

Moy, A.J., Schwartz, J.M., Chen, R., Sadri, S., Lucas, E., Cato, K.D. and Rossetti, S.C., 2021. Measurement of clinical documentation burden among physicians and nurses using electronic health records: a scoping review. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 28(5), pp.998-1008.

Negro-Calduch, E., Azzopardi-Muscat, N., Krishnamurthy, R.S. and Novillo-Ortiz, D., 2021. Technological progress in electronic health record system optimization: Systematic review of systematic literature reviews. *International journal of medical informatics*, 152, p.104507.

Ng, W.Y., Tan, T.E., Movva, P.V., Fang, A.H.S., Yeo, K.K., Ho, D., San Foo, F.S., Xiao, Z., Sun, K., Wong, T.Y. and Sia, A.T.H., 2021. Blockchain applications in health care for COVID-19 and beyond: a systematic review. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 3(12), pp.e819-e829.

Omboni, S., Padwal, R.S., Alessa, T., Benczúr, B., Green, B.B., Hubbard, I., Kario, K., Khan, N.A., Konradi, A., Logan, A.G. and Lu, Y., 2022. The worldwide impact of telemedicine during COVID-19: current evidence and recommendations for the future. *Connected health*, 1, p.7.

Owen, R.K., Conroy, S.P., Taub, N., Jones, W., Bryden, D., Pareek, M., Faull, C., Abrams, K.R., Davis, D. and Banerjee, J., 2021. Comparing associations between frailty and mortality in hospitalised older adults with or without COVID-19 infection: a retrospective observational study using electronic health records. *Age and ageing*, 50(2), pp.307-316.

Pai, M.M., Ganiga, R., Pai, R.M. and Sinha, R.K., 2021. Standard electronic health record (EHR) framework for Indian healthcare system. *Health Services and Outcomes Research Methodology*, 21(3), pp.339-362.

Papoutsi, C., Wherton, J., Shaw, S., Morrison, C. and Greenhalgh, T., 2021. Putting the social back into sociotechnical: Case studies of co-design in digital health. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 28(2), pp.284-293.

Park, J., Saha, S., Chee, B., Taylor, J. and Beach, M.C., 2021. Physician use of stigmatizing language in patient medical records. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(7), pp.e2117052-e2117052.

Patra, B.G., Sharma, M.M., Vekaria, V., Adekkanattu, P., Patterson, O.V., Glicksberg, B., Lepow, L.A., Ryu, E., Biernacka, J.M., Furmanchuk, A.O. and George, T.J., 2021. Extracting social determinants of health from electronic health records using natural language processing: a systematic review. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 28(12), pp.2716-2727.

Pourmand, A., Ghassemi, M., Sumon, K., Amini, S.B., Hood, C. and Sikka, N., 2021. Lack of telemedicine training in academic medicine: are we preparing the next generation?. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 27(1), pp.62-67.

Rasmy, L., Xiang, Y., Xie, Z., Tao, C. and Zhi, D., 2021. Med-BERT: pretrained contextualized embeddings on large-scale structured electronic health records for disease prediction. *NPJ digital medicine*, 4(1), p.86.

Ronquillo, C.E., Peltonen, L.M., Pruinelli, L., Chu, C.H., Bakken, S., Beduschi, A., Cato, K., Hardiker, N., Junger, A., Michalowski, M. and Nyrup, R., 2021. Artificial intelligence in nursing: Priorities and opportunities from an international invitational think-tank of the Nursing and Artificial Intelligence Leadership Collaborative. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 77(9), pp.3707-3717.

Sheikh, A., Anderson, M., Albala, S., Casadei, B., Franklin, B.D., Richards, M., Taylor, D., Tibble, H. and Mossialos, E., 2021. Health information technology and digital innovation for national learning health and care systems. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 3(6), pp.e383-e396.

Steinberg, E., Jung, K., Fries, J.A., Corbin, C.K., Pfohl, S.R. and Shah, N.H., 2021. Language models are an effective representation learning technique for electronic health record data. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 113, p.103637.

Stoumpos, A.I., Kitsios, F. and Talias, M.A., 2023. Digital transformation in healthcare: technology acceptance and its applications. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 20(4), p.3407.

Subrahmanya, S.V.G., Shetty, D.K., Patil, V., Hameed, B.Z., Paul, R., Smriti, K., Naik, N. and Somani, B.K., 2022. The role of data science in healthcare advancements: applications, benefits, and future prospects. *Irish Journal of Medical Science (1971-)*, 191(4), pp.1473-1483.

Sun, M., Oliwa, T., Peek, M.E. and Tung, E.L., 2022. Negative Patient Descriptors: Documenting Racial Bias In The Electronic Health Record: Study examines racial bias in the patient descriptors used in the electronic health record. *Health Affairs*, 41(2), pp.203-211.

Tagde, P., Tagde, S., Bhattacharya, T., Tagde, P., Chopra, H., Akter, R., Kaushik, D. and Rahman, M.H., 2021. Blockchain and artificial intelligence technology in e-Health. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28, pp.52810-52831.

Tapuria, A., Porat, T., Kalra, D., Dsouza, G., Xiaohui, S. and Curcin, V., 2021. Impact of patient access to their electronic health record: systematic review. *Informatics for Health and Social Care*, 46(2), pp.194-206.

Tayefi, M., Ngo, P., Chomutare, T., Dalianis, H., Salvi, E., Budrionis, A. and Godtliebsen, F., 2021. Challenges and opportunities beyond structured data in analysis of electronic health records. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*, 13(6), p.e1549.

Tebeje, T.H. and Klein, J., 2021. Applications of e-health to support person-centered health care at the time of COVID-19 pandemic. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 27(2), pp.150-158.

Thomas Craig, K.J., Willis, V.C., Gruen, D., Rhee, K. and Jackson, G.P., 2021. The burden of the digital environment: a systematic review on organization-directed workplace interventions to mitigate physician burnout. *Journal of the American medical informatics association*, 28(5), pp.985-997.

Thompson, E.J., Williams, D.M., Walker, A.J., Mitchell, R.E., Niedzwiedz, C.L., Yang, T.C., Huggins, C.F., Kwong, A.S., Silverwood, R.J., Gessa, G.D. and Bowyer, R.C., 2021. Risk factors for long COVID: analyses of 10 longitudinal studies and electronic health records in the UK. *MedRxiv*, pp.2021-06.

Trenfield, S.J., Awad, A., McCoubrey, L.E., Elbadawi, M., Goyanes, A., Gaisford, S. and Basit, A.W., 2022. Advancing pharmacy and healthcare with virtual digital technologies. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 182, p.114098.

vanBuchem, M.M., Boosman, H., Bauer, M.P., Kant, I.M., Cammel, S.A. and Steyerberg, E.W., 2021. The digital scribe in clinical practice: a scoping review and research agenda. *NPJ digital medicine*, 4(1), p.57.

Verma, A.A., Pasricha, S.V., Jung, H.Y., Kushnir, V., Mak, D.Y., Koppula, R., Guo, Y., Kwan, J.L., Lapointe-Shaw, L., Rawal, S. and Tang, T., 2021. Assessing the quality of clinical and administrative data extracted from hospitals: the General Medicine Inpatient Initiative (GEMINI) experience. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 28(3), pp.578-587.

Vukmir, R.B., 2024. Medicolegal aspects of documentation and the electronic health record. *MedicinaClínica*.

Whitelaw, S., Pellegrini, D.M., Mamas, M.A., Cowie, M. and Van Spall, H.G., 2021. Barriers and facilitators of the uptake of digital health technology in cardiovascular care: a systematic scoping review. *European Heart Journal-Digital Health*, 2(1), pp.62-74.

Wilson, F.P., Martin, M., Yamamoto, Y., Partridge, C., Moreira, E., Arora, T., Biswas, A., Feldman, H., Garg, A.X., Greenberg, J.H. and Hinchcliff, M., 2021. Electronic health record alerts for acute kidney injury: multicenter, randomized clinical trial. *Bmj*, 372.

Wong, B.L.H., Maaß, L., Vodden, A., van Kessel, R., Sorbello, S., Buttigieg, S. and Odone, A., 2022. The dawn of digital public health in Europe: Implications for public health policy and practice. *The Lancet Regional Health–Europe*, 14.

Wood, A., Denholm, R., Hollings, S., Cooper, J., Ip, S., Walker, V., Denaxas, S., Akbari, A., Banerjee, A., Whiteley, W. and Lai, A., 2021. Linked electronic health records for research on a nationwide cohort of more than 54 million people in England: data resource. *bmj*, 373.

Wu, Z., Xuan, S., Xie, J., Lin, C. and Lu, C., 2022. How to ensure the confidentiality of electronic medical records on the cloud: A technical perspective. *Computers in biology and medicine*, 147, p.105726.

Xie, F., Yuan, H., Ning, Y., Ong, M.E.H., Feng, M., Hsu, W., Chakraborty, B. and Liu, N., 2022. Deep learning for temporal data representation in electronic health records: A systematic review of challenges and methodologies. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 126, p.103980.

Yan, Q., Jiang, Z., Harbin, Z., Tolbert, P.H. and Davies, M.G., 2021. Exploring the relationship between electronic health records and provider burnout: a systematic review. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 28(5), pp.1009-1021.

Yang, X., Chen, A., PourNejatian, N., Shin, H.C., Smith, K.E., Parisien, C., Compas, C., Martin, C., Costa, A.B., Flores, M.G. and Zhang, Y., 2022. A large language model for electronic health records. *NPJ digital medicine*, 5(1), p.194.

Yang, X., Chen, A., PourNejatian, N., Shin, H.C., Smith, K.E., Parisien, C., Compas, C., Martin, C., Flores, M.G., Zhang, Y. and Magoc, T., 2022. GatorTron: a large clinical language model to unlock patient information from unstructured electronic health records. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.03540*.

Yao, R., Zhang, W., Evans, R., Cao, G., Rui, T. and Shen, L., 2022. Inequities in health care services caused by the adoption of digital health technologies: scoping review. *Journal of medical Internet research*, *24*(3), p.e34144.

Yuan, J., Tang, R., Jiang, X. and Hu, X., 2023, January. Llm for patient-trial matching: Privacy-aware data augmentation towards better performance and generalizability. In *American Medical Informatics Association (AMIA) Annual Symposium*.

****