

ISSN: 2230-9926

Available online at http://www.journalijdr.com



International Journal of Development Research Vol. 07, Issue, 08, pp.14510-14514, August, 2017





Open Access

COMPUTERIZED MODELING OF VITAMIN D₂CONTAINING SULFUR, SELENIUM OR TELLURIUM IN OXYGEN SITE

¹Petr Melnikov, ¹Ana Nogueira Gaúna, ¹Lourdes Z. Zanoni, ²Lincoln C.S. de Oliveira and ¹Valter Aragão do Nascimento

¹School of Medicine, Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul/UFMS, Caixa Postal 549, Campo Grande/MS, Brazil ²Institute of Chemistry of the Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul/UFMS, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History: Received 23rd May, 2017 Received in revised form 17th June, 2017 Accepted 22nd July, 2017 Published online 30th August, 2017

Keywords:

Vitamin D₂, Ergocalciferol, Sulfur, Selenium, Telluruim, Structural modeling.

*Corresponding author

The investigations using the molecular mechanics technique with good approximation confirmed structural X-ray data for vitamin D2. The calculation of bond lengths and bond angles provided new structural information for vitamin D2 derivatives containing chalcogens (sulfur, selenium or tellurium) in oxygen site. The comparison showed that most of the bond lengths of the substituted compounds are similar, with the exception of the key bonds Ch-H and Ch – C(3), which grow linearly with the ionic radii of chalcogens. The main difference between the derivatives containing O, S, Se and T is the angle C (3) - Ch - H, thus reflecting a variety of distortions of α and β chairs. It is suggested that increased polarity could significantly improve the metabolic functions of natural vitamin D₂.

Copyright ©2017, *Petr Melnikov et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Petr Melnikov, Ana Nogueira Gaúna, Lourdes Z. Zanoni, Lincoln C.S. de Oliveira and Valter Aragão do Nascimento, 2017. "Computerized modeling of vitamin D_2 containing sulfur, selenium or tellurium in oxygen site", *International Journal of Development Research*, 7, (08), 14510-14514.

INTRODUCTION

The number of publications on vitamin D is constantly increasing and numbered over 4000 in 2014. The explanation for this increasing interest stems from the accumulative knowledge of this vitamin's mechanisms of action and from the parallel increase of potential clinical applications. Moreover, in recent years, we have begun to regard vitamin D as not just a simple vitamin but a hormone and component of a sophisticated 'vitamin D endocrine system' (Burtis et al., 2006). Discovered as an anti-rachitic compound, vitamin D still represents the emblematic vitamin that fixes calcium to bone. However, epidemiologic and experimental data allow us to hypothesize numerous extra-skeletal effects (Mazzaferro and Pasquali, 2016). Indeed, observational studies link vitamin D deficiency with chronic illnesses like diabetes, cancer, infections, cardiovascular and autoimmune diseases. Its use may well prevent several degenerative diseases, and it may also play a role as an anticancer agent.

The complex network of roles, functions and effects makes vitamins D family a fascinating subject for protein chemists, biochemists, nutritionists and pathologists (DeLuca, 2004). Another important fact is that vitamin D is required throughout life. An overview of general physiologic features and functions of vitamins D family is given in (Vitamin, 2010). Five sterols belong to the vitamin D group (vitamins D₁, D₂, D₃, D₄ and D_5). The major role is played by two of them: vitamin D_2 (VD₂, ergocalciferol) and vitamin D3 (VD₃, cholecalciferol). The schematic representation of VD_2 molecular formula (3 β , 5Z, 7E, 22E)-9, 10-secoergosta-5,7,10(19),22-tetraen-3-ol) is given in Fig. 1. As can be seen, the structural formula of VD_2 contains four distinct moieties within the molecule: ring A (where a single O-H group is located), rings C and D and side chain. In both the solid state and the solution the molecules are flexible and can exist in many conformations at room temperature. In particular, the cyclohexane-like ring A chair isomers are crucial for biological activity and mechanism of action of all D vitamins (Norman et al., 1996).



Fig. 1. Structural formula of vitamin VD₂

Similar to other vitamins of this group D, VD₂ exists as a dynamically equilibrating mixture of α and β chair conformations, the former with an equatorial disposition of the hydroxyl group and the latter with an axial orientation (Fig.2). The ratio between them was reported to be solvent and temperature dependent (Vitamin, 2010; Novak, 1997; Norman *et al.*, 1996).



Figure 2. Two possible chair conformations of ring A

Due to its biological importance and its wide application in food, drug and nutrition industries, the related compound VD_3 has been widely covered in the literature, including its chemical, photochemical and thermal aspects. Meanwhile, although VD₂ has been known for almost ninety years, the structure of this important compound is not comprehensively characterized. There are two crystalline forms reported up to date: the orthorhombic polymorph with the parameters given *a* = 21.695Å; b = 6.857 Å and c = 35.320 Å (Hull *et al.*, 1976) and the monoclinic variety with the parameters a = 35.600Å; b = 7.200 Å; c = 20.500 Å and $\beta = 102.00^{\circ}$ (Bernal *et al.*, 1940). If one pays attention to the aforesaid parameters, this last modification seems to be simply a slightly distorted orthorhombic form. In addition, the two modifications also show a close structural relationship with vitamin VD₃ (Wang et al., 2016). At the same time, practically nothing is known about VD₂ derivatives containing the Ch-H group in which the oxygen analogs (Ch = sulfur, selenium and tellurium) replace this element in the classic hydroxyl group. Actually it was suggested elsewhere that such substitution may lead to uncommon biochemical and physico-chemical properties (Soriano-Garcia et al., 2000; Tiekink, 2012). In particular, selenium incorporated into natural bioactive compounds can act as an effective radio sensitizer to enhance the anticancer efficacy through induction of cancer cell apoptosis (Xie et al., 2014). Motivated by the potential utility of sulfur, selenium and tellurium against a number of diseases and pathological conditions we performed this study to fill the gap in the structural characteristics of vitamin VD₂ derivatives. Recent research using this approach has enabled us, in particular, to perform modeling of glutathiones containing selenium and tellurium (Nascimento et al., 2016). The purpose of this publication is to perform structural simulations of the aforementioned VD₂ derivatives using the modern molecular modeling software to elucidate possible similarities and differences between the substituted products and naturally occurring compound. All methods use empirical data to determine individual force constants, in particular, bond lengths and bond angles. Herein VD_2 is considered as an independent unit, and not as a part of its final metabolites.

METHODS

A number of techniques exist for computerized modeling and calculating the potential energy of molecular systems as a function of coordinates of their atomic nuclei, neglecting explicit treatment of electrons. In this work, the structure of VD3 was simulated using the standard SPARTAN '14 for Windows, Macintosh and Cinox software package which employ MMFF94 (Merck Pharmaceuticals) force field (Spartan14v112, 2013). MMFF has been implemented for all elements in the table periodic. In vacuo calculations would bring out most of the underlying conformations without being side-tracked by the solvent used in the study or the limitations imposed by the densest packing. Strictly speaking, no conformational search routine guarantees that all conformers have been found, so the strategy chosen in this work was to study a reasonably representative set of the optimized geometries, in particular related to the ring A. The geometry optimization was carried out in Cartesian coordinates using the Berny optimization algorithm, and adjusting the parameters until a stationary point on the potential surface was found. That means that for a small displacement the energy does not change within a certain amount, and the placements are successfully converged. It should be pointed out that we did not perform any systematic energy sampling for searching conformational energy space. Angles and interatomic distances were evaluated by using special features of the program. The experimental parameters used for the comparisons were taken from databases and publications on X-ray structural refinements of VD₂ molecule.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Vitamin D molecule is characterized by unique dynamic properties and can sample numerous tridimensional shapes. To the best of our knowledge, VD₂ containing sulfur (VD₂S-H), selenium (VD₂Se-H) or tellurium (VD₂Te-H) have never been described. As a result, the reference compounds with the structural data available for comparisons are limited to the monoclinic (Hull et al., 1976) and orthorhombic (Bernal et al., 1940) polymorphs of VD_2 and, to some extent, to the simplest chalcogen hydrides H₂S, H₂Se and H₂Te (National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2014). Three structurally similar conformers, one for each chalcogen, were constructed and oriented in a comparable manner, i.e., a longitudinal view and a view allowing visualization at 45°. The corresponding models are represented in Figures 3 - 6. The geometries can be analyzed using the set of interatomic distances listed in Table 1. Although the carbon atoms are connected in three "fused" rings, the interatomic distances for both single and double bonds are close to those calculated for the orthorhombic and hexagonal DV₂ from X-ray measurements (Hull et al., 1976; Bernal et al., 1940), which are our aforementioned reference data. At the same time, and according to the Atlas of steroid structures (Griffin et al., 1984), they are also very similar to the distances found for a number of steroid arrangements with the group O-H attached to the ring A, for example 11βmethoxyestradiol. Figures 3-6 show that the main difference between the conformers containing O, S, Se and T is the angle C(3) - Ch - H, thus reflecting the variety of distortions of the chairs A. Another characteristic is the small variability of the distance C7 – C19, which reflects differences in torsion angles due to the rotation above the bond C6 – C7. The side chain, which is the most dynamic region, can rotate 360 degrees around the single bond that links it to the C-D ring, and each carbon of the chain also has some flexibility. The number of tridimensional conformations that a metabolite can sample is thus very high (Mazzaferro and Pasquali, 2016).



Fig. 3. Structural models proposed for VD₃O-H, a – conformer 1, longitudinal view; b – conformer 2, longitudinal view; c – conformer 1, view permitting visualization at 45°



Fig. 4. Structural models proposed for VD₃S-H, a – conformer 1, longitudinal view; b – conformer 2, longitudinal view; c – conformer 1, view permitting visualization at 45°

At the same time, valence angles in the main chain (Table 2) do not appear to be sensitive to the chalcogen nature, although the coincidences are not very precise. This feature can be easily explained by the existence of a number of conformational isomers with slightly different values of potential energy. In the solution and in the solid state, the degree of freedom may be to some extent limited due to the demands imposed by the formation of pseudo-homodimers. For example, for the orthorhombic form it was shown that the two conformers are alternately connected by a set of intermolecular O – H O – H interactions to form a one-dimensional chain arrangement (Wang Jian-Rong *et al.*, 2014).



Fig. 5. Structural models proposed for VD₃Se-H, a – conformer 1, longitudinal view; b – conformer 2, longitudinal view; c – conformer 1, view permitting visualization at 45°



Fig.6. Structural models proposed for VD_3Te , a – conformer 1, longitudinal view; b – conformer 2, longitudinal view; c – conformer 1, view permitting visualization at 45°

Distance	Ch							
	0	S	e	Te	O ;[6]			
H-O	0.972	1.341	1.507	1.692	-			
O-C3	1.427	1.827	1.936	2.103	1.446			
C3-C2	1.531	1.534	1.527	1.530	1.448			
C2-C1	1.528	1.532	1.529	1.532	1.534			
C1-C10	1.510	1.510	1.510	1.509	1.433			
C10-C19	1.342	1.342	1.342	1.342	1.349			
C10-C5	1.461	1.461	1.461	1.466	1.448			
C3-C4	1.534	1.537	1.530	1.528	1.504			
C4-C5	1.514	1.517	1.514	1.520	1.498			
C5-C6	1.347	1.347	1.347	1.349	1.348			
C6-C7	1.447	1.447	1.447	1.447	1.488			
C7-C8	1.346	1.346	1.346	1.346	1.322			
C8-C9	1.520	1.521	1.521	1.521	1.500			
C9-C11	1.537	1.537	1.537	1.538	1.478			
C11-C12	1.538	1.538	1.538	1.537	1.590			
C12-C13	1.542	1.542	1.542	1.543	1.515			
C13-C17	1.536	1.556	1.556	1.556	1.563			
C13-C18	1.546	1.546	1.546	1.545	1.523			
C13-C14	1.554	1.554	1.554	1.551	1.529			
C14-C8	1.512	1.512	1.512	1.512	1.530			
C14-C15	1.531	1.531	1.531	1.531	1.522			
C15-C16	1.541	1.540	1.541	1.541	1.547			
C16-C17	1.550	1.549	1.550	1.548	1.525			
C17-C20	1.552	1.551	1.552	1.550	1.541			
C20-C21	1.540	1.540	1.540	1.532	1.516			
C20-C22	1.515	1.515	1.516	1.518	1.529			
C22-C23	1.344	1.344	1.345	1.343	1.350			
C23-C24	1.515	1.516	1.521	1.514	1.541			
C24-C28	1.532	1.534	1.537	1.532	1.467			
C24-C25	1.554	1.555	1.558	1.554	1.606			
C25-C26	1.533	1.534	1.534	1.533	1.488			
C25-C27	1.533	1.535	1.536	1.533	1.407			
C7-C19	3.308	3.308	3.309	3.226	3.155			
Energy	380.35	371.51	360.55	376.53	-			

Tabel1. Interatomic distances (Å) and potential energies(a.u.) calculadas for V3 Ch - H (Ch = O, S. Se and Te)

Table 2.Angles (°) calculated for V₃Ch - H (Ch = O, S, Se and Te)

Angle	Ch						
	0	S	Se	Te	$O[6]^{x)}$.		
H-CH-C3	107.47	96.61	95.15	109.35	-		
CH-C3-C2	109.52	109.73	109.13	109.32	109.30		
C3-C2-C1	111.28	110.73	111.28	113.36	108.66		
C2-C1-C10	111.50	111.54	111.50	111.44	112.91		
C1-C10-19	122.33	122.34	122.35	121.52	123.51		
C1-C10-C5	114.95	114.94	114.91	113.85	114.04		
C5-C4-C3	111.52	110.90	111.41	112.71	113.10		
C5-C10-19	122.61	122.62	122.64	124.46	122.22		
C4-C5-C6	120.94	121.06	120.95	118.98	119.02		
C10-C5-C6	125.95	125.87	125.93	126.98	126.41		
C5-C6-C7	127.65	127.57	127.65	128.55	124.81		
C6-C7-C8	126.36	126.33	126.35	126.66	125.04		
C7-C8-C9	125.04	125.07	125.02	124.94	127.83		
C8-C9-C11	112.94	112.97	112.95	113.00	112.59		
C9-C11-C12	113.08	113.09	113.08	113.49	113.66		
C11-C12-C13	111.68	111.67	111.67	111.81	109.29		
C12-C13-C18	109.47	109.45	109.46	109.60	112.00		
C12-C13-C14	108.33	108.33	108.32	108.18	109.49		
C13-C14-C8	112.33	112.32	112.33	111.94	110.61		
C14-C8-C7	123.53	123.50	123.54	123.59	121.63		
C13-C14-C15	103.09	103.02	103.09	103.32	105.84		
C14-C15-C16	104.43	104.33	104.43	104.62	101.51		
C15-C16-C17	106.26	106.32	106.27	106.28	107.97		
C16-C17-C13	103.59	103.77	103.58	103.72	105.50		
C18-C13-C17	113.17	113.26	113.21	112.65	107.64		
C13-C18-C14	112.34	112.26	112.32	112.76	111.23		
C13-C17-C20	119.86	120.07	119.92	118.14	119.84		
C16-C17-C20	116.03	115.95	115.98	116.85	112.76		
C17-C20-C21	109.71	109.77	109.66	116.60	116.20		
C17-C20-C22	115.94	116.52	115.94	109.15	109.12		
C20-C21-C22	112.16	112.03	112.32	107.25	110.63		
C20-C22-C23	127.11	127.36	127.18	123.82	124.48		
C22-C23-C24	123.64	123.74	126.81	123.80	127.41		
C23-C24-C25	111.13	111.67	111.12	111.34	110.22		
C24-C25-C26	111.49	112.23	112.14	114.29	111.19		
C28-C24-C25	114.18	112.58	112.36	114.10	116.45		
C25-C26-C27	109.42	108.56	108.44	109.37	110.07		
C28-C24-C23	109.19	107.84	112.71	109.10	113.79		

As concerns the key bonds Ch- H and Ch – C(3), they grow linearly with the Ch H distances in the simplest sulfides, selenides and tellurides that is 1.35, 1.46 and 1.69 Å, respectively (Macyntyre, 1999); they are practically insensitive to the presence of the organic moiety. On the other hand, if the distances Ch – C(3) are arranged in a row $O \rightarrow S \rightarrow Se \rightarrow Te$, there is a net linear dependence on this parameter. These findings unequivocally demonstrate a higher polarity of VD₂S-H, VD₂Se-H and VD₂Te-H as compared with the initial VD₂. Ring A is the primary site for the interaction with nuclear receptor (VDR) that regulates the transcription of several target cells. So we can expect that changes in the shape of the ring will modify a specific step in the VD₂ metabolism or receptor interaction.

Therefore the improvement of polarity might have a positive impact on the processes of further hydroxylation, which culminates in the water soluble form suitable for the uptake by peripheral tissues (Quinn and Kagan, 1998; Jovičić et al., 2012). In this way, sulfur, selenium and tellurium located at oxygen sites could significantly enhance the metabolic functions of natural VD₂. The next stage should be the attempts to synthesize such products and check their biological activity. The above considerations are made on the basis of at least 10 conformers. Those whose data are given in the Figures and Tables are only representative examples having close potential energies. It is clear that these energies, calculated by the means of molecular mechanics do not necessarily have any physical meanings in themselves. However, when analyzing a family of closely related structures, they are useful for the sake of comparisons.

x) Hydrogens not localized

Conclusion

The investigations using the molecular mechanics technique with good approximation confirmed structural X-ray data for vitamin D₂. The calculation of bond lengths and bond angles provided new structural information for vitamin D₂ derivatives containing chalcogens (sulfur, selenium or tellurium) in oxygen site. The comparison showed that the bond lengths of the substituted compounds are similar, with the exception of the key bonds Ch-H and Ch – C(3), which grow linearly with the ionic radii of chalcogens. The main difference between the derivatives containing O, S, Se and T is the angle C (3) - Ch - H, thus reflecting a variety of distortions of α and β chairs. It is suggested that increased polarity could significantly improve the metabolic functions of natural VD₂.

REFERENCES

- Bernal, J.D., D. Crowfoot, I. Fankuchen, 1940. X-ray Crystallography and the Chemistry of the Steroids, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser A. 239, 135-182.
- Burtis, C.A., E.R. Ashwood, D.E. Bruns, 2006. Titzt Texbook of Clinical Chemistry and Diagnostics, Elsevier Inc.
- DeLuca, H.F. 2004. Overview of general physiologic features and functions of vitamins D1, 2, 3, 4. *Am J. Clin Nutr.*, 80 16895-26965.

Hull, S.E., I. Leban, P.S. White, M.M. Woolfson, 1976. The crystal and molecular structure of ergocalciferol (vitamin D₂), Acta Cryst. B32, 2374-2381.

- J.F. Griffin, W. L. Duax, C.M. Weeks, (Eds). Atlas of Steroid Structure, Vol. 2. Plenum Publishing Corp. New York. 1984.
- Jovičić, S, S. Ignjatović, N. 2012. Majkić-Singh, Biochemistry and metabolism of vitamin D, *Journal of Medical Biochemistry*, 31(4), 309-315. Retrieved 24 May. 2017, from doi:10.2478/v10011-012-0028-8.
- Macyntyre, J. E. (Ed) 1999. Dictionary of Inorganic Compounds, CRC Press, London, Glasgow, New York.
- Mazzaferro, S., M. Pasquali, 2016. Vitamin D: a dynamic molecule. How relevant might the dynamism for a vitamin be? Nephrol Dial Transplant 31, 23–30.
- Nascimento, V.A., P. Melnikov, A.V.D. Lanoa, A.F. Silva, L.Z.Z. Consolo, 2016. Structural Modeling of Glutathiones Containing Selenium and Tellurium, International Journal of Chemistry, 8(1) 102-108.

National Institute of Standards and Technology [NIST], 2014.

Norman, A.W., J.E. Bishop, E.D. Collins, E.G. Seo, M.C. Dormanen, S.B. Zanello, M.C. Farach-Carson, R. Bouillon, W.H. Okamura, 1996. Differing shapes of 1,25.dihydroxyvitamin D3 function as ligands for the D-binding protein, nuclear receptor and membrane receptor: A status report, *J. Steroid Biochem. Molec. Biol.*, 56, 13-22 (and references therein).

- Novak, I., A.W. Potts, 1997. Electronic structure of vitamins D₂ and D₃, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1319, 86–90.
- Quinn, P. J., Kagan, V. T. Subcellular Biochemistry, Fat-Soluble Vitamins, Plenum Press, N.Y. vol.30 Eds, 1998.
- Soriano-Garcia, M. 2004. Organoselenium compounds as potential therapeutic and chemopreventive agents: a review. *Current Medical Chemistry*, 11, 1657-1669.

Spartan14v112, 2013. Wavefunction, Inc., Irvine.

- Tiekink, E.R. 2012. Therapeutic potential of selenium and tellurium compounds: opportunities yet unrealized. Dalton Transactions, 41, 6390-6395.
- Vitamin, D. 2010. Physiology, Molecular Biology and Clinical Applications. Ed. By Michael F. Holick, Humana Press, New York.
- Wang Jian-Rong, Zhou Chun, Yu Xueping, Mei Xuefeng, 2014. Stabilizing vitamin D₃ by conformationally selective co-crystallization, Chem. Commun. 50, 855 -858.
- Wang, J.R., B. Zho, X.Mei, 2016. Selective crystallization of vitamin D 3 for the preparation of novel conformational polymorphs with distinctive chemical stability, *Cryst. Eng. Comm.*, 18 (7), 1101-1104.
- Xie, Q., L. He, H. Lai, W. Zheng, T. Chen, 2014. Selenium substitution endows cysteine with radiosensitization activity against cervical cancer cells. RSC Advances, 4, 34210-34216.
