

Available online at http://www.journalijdr.com



International Journal of Development Research Vol. 07, Issue, 02, pp.11591-11595, February, 2017

Full Length Research Article

HUMAN RELATIONS PRACTICES AND PERFORMANCE OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL PRINCIPALS IN REGION III, PHILIPINES

Elvira E. Sagun and *Emma C. Ventura

Ramon Magsaysay Technological University, Iba, Zambales, Philippines 2207

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 19th November, 2016 Received in revised form 27th December, 2016 Accepted 16th January, 2017 Published online 28th February, 2017

Key Words:

Educational Management, Human Relations, Performance, Teacher's attitudes, Problem -Solving Skills, Descriptive, Region III, Philippines.

ABSTRACT

The study aimed to determine the human relations practices of elementary school principals in selected schools in Region III. It focused on the level of performance of school principals and the human relations practices with regards to administrator's role. The study made use of the descriptive method involving twenty- two (22) school principals and one hundred ninety -seven (197) school teachers. In the statistical data analysis, the Percentage, average weighted mean, T-Test and Analysis of Variance were used. Findings revealed that majority of the principals are female, 52 years old, married, with master's degree and doctorate units, specialized in Math/Science, have been in the service for almost 21-25 years and attended seminars for more than 21 times. While majority of the teacher-respondents are female, 42 years old, married, finished Bachelor's degree course with master's units, major in Makabayan and attended seminars for 1 to 5 times. Results showed that one hundred percent (100%) of the principals achieved outstanding/excellent performances. Among the five aspects of human relation practices, the principal-respondents perceived Very Good (4.23) on "supervisory of teaching and learning". It was followed by "problem-solving techniques" with mean rating of 4.20 (VG); "administrators' role in management with mean rating of 4.16 (VG); understanding and motivating teachers recorded at 4.07 (VG); and the lowest was obtained in "developing positive attitude for teachers" with mean of 4.06 (VG). The over-all rating of principal was 4.06 described as "Very Good". On the other hand, the teacher perceived aspect of "problem solving techniques with the highest rating of 4.08 described as "Very Good" and lowest was "understanding and motivating teachers" with a mean of 3.80 (VG). Both respondents have similar perceptions of the five aspects of human relation practices There is a significant difference in the responses of the two groups of respondents with regards to the human practices of school principals. However, there is no significant difference on the human relation practices of school principals when grouped according to the profile variables. It is also noted that there is a negative correlation between the level of performance of school principals and the human relation practices. It is recommended that school principals may focus on the supervisory function to improve the total learning situation and to stimulate growth as a means of helping teachers and to help themselves. School principals or school heads may encourage or motivate teachers to perform their tasks at their best and understand their needs and problems

International Journal of

DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH

Copyright©2017 Elvira E. Sagun and Emma C. Ventura. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Human relation is the skill or ability to work effectively with and through other people. It implies a desire to understand others, their needs, and their weaknesses as well as their talents and abilities. For anyone in a workplace setting, human relations also involves an understanding of how people work together in groups, to satisfy both individual needs and group objectives (Lamberton and Minor, 1998). Dealing with other people can never be completely avoided. No matter what we do for a living or how well we do it, our relationship with other is the key to any success or failure of an organization.

*Corresponding author: Emma C. Ventura

Ramon Magsaysay Technological University, Iba, Zambales, Philippines 2207.

Even when a person is otherwise only average in performance at a job, good human relation skills will usually make that person seem better to others. Sadly, the opposite is also true: Poor human relation skills can be made otherwise able person like a poor performer. Thus, human relations in the broad sense are central to how all organizations operate. In fact, an organization is by definition a set of human relations. The study of these relations has, over the years, drawn from a variety of social sciences, including sociology, psychology, and even psychiatry. Human relations are not a study of how to understand human behavior so as to manipulate others into what you want them to do. Good human relations mean being real, being positive and being honest. Practicing effective human relations means being yourself at your very best. The idea that personal feelings (or human relations) affect performance in the workplace is an old one, dating back at least to Mayo (1933). A series of experiments in the 1920s, the so-called Hawthorne experiments, showed that human intangibles, such as morale, often had more bearing on production than did the physical workplace and worked organization (Kaninan, 2004). This lead to "Human Relations" theory in the narrow sense, as exemplified by the work of Abraham Maslow. Maslow theorized that human beings have a "hierarchy of needs." At the most fundamental levels are food, clothing, shelter, and the like.

As these basic needs are met, people shift their efforts to subtler needs, such as for friendship and self- fulfillment. (http://www.jstor.org/stable/2138761). In rapidly changing times, technical-rational approaches to leadership may be attractive, yet in reality are unlikely to result in improved schooling, unless accompanied by professional dimension and human relations. According to Harris, (2002) effective leaders had a high degree of emotional intelligence and were acutely aware of the need to build positive relationships with pupils, teachers and parents. Effective leaders above all are people centered and emphasize human needs before organizational needs. They distribute leadership to others and invest in various forms of teacher leadership and empowerment. Effective leaders can combine a moral purpose with a willingness to be collaborative and to promote collaboration amongst colleagues, whether through teamwork, or by extending the boundaries of participation in leadership and decision-making. Previously, school administration had assumed the structure of the "essentially autocratic" business world which excluded the necessity of considering the human factor. Careful studies on human relationships were considered to be unnecessary.

Today concepts of educational administration and leadership have changed. Leadership in group processes is not a function of position; it evolves from the group, thus giving the responsibility for the selection of leadership to the group members. The effect upon leadership in education has been to take the emphasis away from domination by the leader over a subordinate to developing an attitude of guidance or helpfulness. To be successful as a leader, elementary school principal need to have a good knowledge of the culture in which they are working. Leaders should have an understanding of subordinates' feelings. Leadership is a "relation between persons." These changing concepts of leadership underline the need for studies in human relations and emphasize the importance of research efforts such as the present study. Because elementary school principals and administrators play a major role in creating a school culture, it is necessary that administrators' human relation practices be identified as basis for effective and successful school administration. Thus, the researchers is very optimistic in considering the foregoing claims to be considered as the rationale in conducting a study on the human relation practices of elementary school principals in Region III assuming their human relation practices may directly or indirectly affect sound school administration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The descriptive survey method of research was used in the study. It involved the twenty -two (22) school principals in the different divisions along Central Luzon and the one hundred ninety- seven (197) school teachers in the elementary schools of the region. The school principals and school teacher

were randomly selected using convenience sampling to represent each group of respondents. The questionnairechecklist was used as the main instrument for the two groups of respondents. Part 1 *included* the profile of the two groups of respondents such as age, gender, civil status, educational attainment, length of service, field of specialization and seminars attended. Part II focused on the performance of the School Principals and the perceptions of the two groups of respondents regarding the Human Relation Practices of the School Principals in various elementary schools in selected provinces. The scale composed of fifty (50) items using the 5 point scale as follows: 5- Strongly Agree; 4 – Agree; 3 – Undecided; 2 – Disagree and 1 – Strongly Disagree.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Profile of Respondents

Most of the principal-respondents belonged to age bracket of 56-60 *with a* computed mean age of 52.09. Majority of the principal-respondents were female, married, earned a degree of masters with doctorate units specialized in *Math* and Sciences, served for 21-25 years and attended various seminars, workshop, and seminars. On the other hand, most of the teacher were between ages 36-38 with a mean age of 42.4. Majoritywere female, married, finished BS degree with MA/MS units and specialized in the fields of Makabayan. Most of them served for 6-10 years and attended only some training and seminars.

Performance of School Principals

Data revealed that all school principals achieved an outstanding/excellent performances recorded at one hundred percent. According to an interview with some school heads, a school principal is rarely rated by his or her supervisor as Very Satisfactory or Satisfactory performance because they have been promoted due to their outstanding achievements and contributions in their fields of expertise when they were mere teachers.

Perceptions of Respondents on Human Relation Practices of School Principals

Table 1 presents the summary of the perceptions of the respondents of the Human Practices of School Principals as perceived by the two groups of respondents. Among the five aspects of human relation practices, the principal perceived "problem solving techniques" obtained highest rating of4.20 (VG); it was followed by "administrators role in management with a rating of 4.16 (VG); "understanding and motivating teachers recorded at 4.07 (VG); developing positive attitude for teachers rated as 4.06 (VG) and the lowest among these aspects was on "supervisory aspects of teaching and learning" with a rating of 3.83 (VG). The over-all rating of principal respondents was 4.06 described as "Very Good". On the other hand, the teacher-respondents perceived that among the five aspects, "problem- solving techniques" garnered the highest rating with 4.06 (VG) and lowest in "understanding and motivating teachers" with 3.80. Both respondents have similar perceptions of the five aspects of human relation practices. This only implies that a school head or principal should not also be strict but also considerate on the part of the teachers' needs and problems. Motivation is also one of the vital factors in work performance and can be associated with research of

Human Relation Practices	Pr	incipal	Teachers	
Iuman Relation Flactices	Mean	Description	Mean	Descriptio
1. Administrators Role in Management	4.16	Very Good	3.95	Very Goo
2. Supervisory Aspects of Learning and Teaching	3.83	Very Good	3.96	Very Goo
3. Understanding and Motivating Teachers	4.07	Very Good	3.80	Very Goo
4. Developing Positive Attitude of Teacher	4.06	Very Good	3.90	Very Goo
5. Problem Solving Techniques	4.20	Very Good	4.08	Very Goo
Over-all Weighted Mean	4.06	Very Good	3.94	Very Goo

Table 1. Summary Table on the Human Relation Practices

T-Test on Significant Difference between Responses of the Two Groups

Table 2. T-Test Result between the Responses of the Principal and Teacher on the Human Relation Practices

Human Relation Practices	Prir	ncipals	Teachers						
	Mean	Std.Dev.	Mean	Std.Dev.	t-value	df	р	Decision	Interpretation
1. Administrators Role in Management	4.18	0.49	3.94	0.57	1.90	217	0.0589	Accept Ho	Not significant
2. Supervisory Aspect of Learning and Teaching	4.22	0.45	3.96	0.59	2.04	217	0.0425	Reject Ho	Significant
3. Understanding and Motivating Teachers	4.07	0.48	3.80	0.60	2.05	217	0.0414	Reject Ho	Significant
4. Developing Positive Teacher's Attitude	4.07	0.53	3.90	0.59	1.29	217	0.1982	Accept Ho	Not significant
5. Problem Solving Techniques	4.20	0.38	4.09	0.63	0.79	217	0.4325	Accept Ho	Not significant
Overall	4.15	0.37	3.94	0.52	1.83	217	0.0679	Accept Ho	Not significant

Test of Significant Differences in Human Relation Practices When Grouped According to Profile Variables

Table 3. Test Significant Difference on Human Relations When Grouped According to Profile Variables

Profile Variable	F-value	p-value	Decision	Interpretation
A. Administrators Role in Management				
Sex	1.44	0.24	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Age	0.09	0.99	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Civil Status	0.31	0.58	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Educational Attainment	0.42	0.74	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Specialization	1.44	0.27	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Experience	0.48	0.75	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Seminar	0.20	0.89	Accept Ho	Not Significant
B. Supervisory Aspects in Learning & Teaching	F-value	p-value	Decision	Interpretation
Gender	0.01	0.90	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Age	0.37	0.86	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Civil Status	0.40	0.54	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Educational Attainment	1.50	0.25	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Specialization	1.69	0.19	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Experience	0.61	0.66	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Seminar	0.20	0.89	Accept Ho	Not Significant
C. Understanding & Motivating Teachers				
Gender	0.44	0.52	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Age	0.25	0.93	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Civil Status	0.28	0.60	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Educational Attainment	0.85	0.49	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Specialization	1.13	0.39	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Experience	1.39	0.28	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Seminar	0.20	0.90	Accept Ho	Not Significant
D. Developing Positive Teacher's Attitude			1	C
Gender	4.35	0.04996	Reject Ho	Significant
Age	0.44	0.81224	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Civil Status	0.10	0.75502	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Educational Attainment	1.58	0.22830	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Specialization	0.28	0.91690	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Experience	1.10	0.38686	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Seminar	0.61	0.61925	Accept Ho	Not Significant
E. Problem Solving Techniques			-	-
Gender	0.95	0.34	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Age	1.01	0.44	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Civil Status	0.02	0.89	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Educational Attainment	0.56	0.65	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Specialization	0.78	0.58	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Experience	0.20	0.93	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Seminar	1.61	0.22	Accept Ho	Not Significant

Table 4. Test on Significant Relationship between Human RelationPractices and Performance

Human Relation PracticesAspects	Computed r	p-value	Interpretation
1. Administrator's Role in Management	-0.2861	0.197	not significant
2. Supervisory Aspects of Learning & Teaching	-0.1095	0.628	not significant
3. Understanding and Motivating Teachers	-0.0772	0.733	not significant
4. Developing Positive Teacher's Attitude	-0.2576	0.247	not significant
Problem Solving Techniques	-0.1325	0.557	not significant
Overall	-0.2224	0.32	not significant

Elton Mayo, who was the founder of the Human Relations Movement. When managers took a greater interest in employees, they felt more valued and empowered. His work also showed that they were more motivated if they were managed and consulted more.

T-Test on Significant Difference between Responses of the Two Groups

Findings *revealed* that there is significant *difference* on the responses of the two groups particularly on the aspects: supervisory aspects of learning and teaching and understanding and motivating teachers. Since the computed p-value recorded at 0.0414 and 0.0425 are lower than the 0.05 level of significance, the null hypothesis is rejected. However, other aspects of human relations practices such as administrators role in management, developing positive teacher's attitude and problem solving techniques reveal no significance, thus the null hypothesis is accepted.

Test of Significant Differences in Human Relation Practices When Grouped According to Profile Variables

Based on the result of the ANOVA table to test the significant difference of the human relation practices, it is clearly observed that there were no significant differences on the profile variables such as gender, age, civil status, educational attainment, specialization, experience and seminars attended.

Results *revealed* that there is a low negative correlation between the human relation practices and performance of the school principals. The p-value is greater than 0.05 level of significance hence, the null hypothesis is accepted. All the computed values of are not significant. This is somehow expected since all the school principals obtained outstanding performances. Results *revealed* that there is a low negative correlation between the human relation practices and performance of the school principals. Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted. All the computed values of r are not significant. This is somehow expected since all the school principals obtained outstanding performances.

Conclusions

From the above results and findings, the following are concluded:

- The principal respondents exhibited outstanding or excellent level of performances in their respective schools.
- Problem -solving techniques tend to be the number one among the human relation practices of school heads as perceived by the two groups of respondents. The Supervisory Aspects of Learning and Teaching aspect was rated by principal the lowest while the teacher gave lowest rating on the aspect of understanding and motivating teachers.
- There is a significant difference between the responses of the two groups of respondents with regards to the human relation practices of school principals.
- There is no significant difference on the human relation practices of school principals when grouped according to the profile variables.

• There is a negative correlation between the level of performance of school principals and the human relation practices.

Recommendations

- School principals *may* focus on the supervisory function to improve the total learning situation and to stimulate growth as a means of helping teachers and to help themselves.
- Since the school principals obtained outstanding performances, their dedication and commitment to their job must be sustained in order to attain quality instruction in their respective schools.
- Problems arise in the school system and therefore, school heads or principals, should possess managerial skills in order to solve issues and problems effectively.
- School principals or school heads *maybe motivated* teachers to perform their tasks at their best and understand their needs and problems.

REFERENCES

- Bulach, C. R., and Potter, L. 1998. Assessment Centers: Meeting the needs of educational leaders. *NASSP Practitioner*, 24(3), 1-4.
- Davis, S. H. 1998. Superintendents' perspectives on the involuntary departure of public school principals: The most frequent reasons why principals lose their jobs. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 34(1), 58-90.
- Harrill, J. L. 1990. A descriptive study of South Carolina district-level curriculum/instructional leaders' perceptions of needed competencies and skills. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 51(08), 2620. (University Microfilms No. AAI9101463)
- Hutchison, C. I. 1988. Leadership skills. *Performance and Instruction*, 27(8), 2-5.
- Jolly, R. A. F. 1995. The effectiveness of secondary educational administration preparation at Kansas regents universities. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 56(11), 4224.(University Microfilms No. AAI96099508)
- Jung, C., Howard, R., Emory, R., &Pino, R. 1977).*Interpersonal Communications*. Portland, OR: Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory.
- Kramer, B. H. 1993. Empirically indicated effective schoolsite leader behaviors: A meta-analysis and theoretical comparison (effective leadership).*Dissertation Abstracts International*, 54(09), 3282.(University Microfilms No. AAI9803729)
- Lamberton, Lowell H. and Leslie Minor, 1998. Human Relations Strategies for Success. Irwin Mirror Press Chicago.
- Ruoss, E. G. 1992.Essential competencies for independent school leaders (leadership training).*Dissertation Abstracts International*, 54(05), 1623.(University Microfilms No. AAI9324891)
- Sass, M. W. 1989. The AASA performance goal and skill areas' importance to effective superintendence performance as viewed by professors of educational administration and practicing superintendents. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 50(09), 2742. (University Microfilms No. AAI9006076)
- Schneider, J. 1998. University training of school leaders isn't the only option. *The AASA Professor*, 22(1).

- Stanton, Jr., J. E. 1994. Personnel management competencies of selected public school superintendents. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 55(10), 3060. (University Microfilms No. AAI9506452)
- Thomas, P. G. 1994. A case study of leadership behaviors exhibited by the principal and others in a collaborative school environment. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 56(01), 0056. (University Microfilms No. AAI9514643)
